



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
DRAFT PERMIT April 30, 2019
TO WITHDRAW GROUNDWATER IN THE
EASTERN SHORE GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT AREA

Permit Number: GW0072900

Effective Date: Mo Dy, 2019

Expiration Date: Mo Dy, 2034

Pursuant to Section 62.1-256 of the Ground Water Management Act of 1992 (Chapter 25, Title 62.1 of the Code of Virginia) and the Groundwater Withdrawal Regulations (Regulations) (9VAC25-610-10 *et seq.*), the State Water Control Board (Board) hereby authorizes the Permittee to withdraw and use groundwater in accordance with this permit.

Permittee Jan & E.T. Trader

Facility E.T. Trader Farm, Parks Farm, and Jan Farm
Trader Farm (Trader Farms)

Facility Address 5061 and 5062 Holland Road

New Church, VA 23415

The Permittee's authorized groundwater withdrawal shall not exceed:

9,500,000 gallons per year,
1,600,000 gallons per month,

The permitted withdrawal will be used to provide an agricultural water supply. Other uses are not authorized by this permit.

The Permittee shall comply with all conditions and requirements of the permit.

By direction of the State Water Control Board, this Permit is granted by:

Signed _____

Date _____

Director, Office of Water Supply

This permit is based on the Permittee's application submitted on August 11, 2017 and subsequently amended to include supplemental information provided by the Permittee. The following are conditions that govern the system set-up and operation, monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping pertinent to the Regulations.

Part I Operating Conditions

A. Authorized Withdrawal

1. The withdrawal of groundwater shall be limited to the following wells identified in the table below. Withdrawals from wells not included in Table 1 are not authorized by this permit and are therefore prohibited. 9VAC25-610-140.A

Table 1

Owner Well Name	DEQ Well #	Well Depth (ft)	Screen Intervals	Aquifer*	Latitude	Longitude	Datum
Well 1	100-01599	To Be Determined**	To Be Determined**	Middle Yorktown- Eastover	37° 58' 8.19"	-75° 34' 40.99"	WGS84
Well 2	100-01600	180	160-180	Middle Yorktown- Eastover	37° 58' 7.76"	-75° 34' 42.06"	WGS84
Well 3	100-01601	180	170-180	Middle Yorktown- Eastover	37° 58' 6.14"	-75° 34' 43.24"	WGS84
Well 4	100-01602	180	160-180	Middle Yorktown- Eastover	37° 58' 25.94"	-75° 34' 26.21"	WGS84
Well 5	100-01603	180	170-180	Middle Yorktown- Eastover	37° 58' 23.43"	-75° 34' 23.88"	WGS84
Well 6	100-01604	180	170-180	Middle Yorktown- Eastover	37° 58' 19.63"	-75° 34' 27.50"	WGS84

*Aquifer in use was estimated based on the USGS Eastern Shore Hydrogeologic Framework and will be updated using site-specific geophysical data collected as required by the permit.

**No Well construction information was located for this well. Well construction information will be updated using camera survey data collected, as required by the permit.

2. Any actions that result in a change to the well operation, construction, or pump intake setting of wells included in this permit must be pre-approved by the Department of Environmental Quality (Department) in writing prior to implementing the change and a revised GW-2 Form must be submitted to the Department within 30 days after the physical construction of a well is altered or the pump intake setting has been changed. If changes are a result of an emergency, notify the Department within 5 days from the change. 9VAC25-610-140.C

B. Pump Intake Settings

1. The Permittee shall not place a pump or water intake device lower than the top of the uppermost confined aquifer that a well utilizes as a groundwater source or lower than the bottom of an unconfined aquifer that a well utilizes as a groundwater source in order to prevent dewatering of the aquifer, loss of inelastic storage, or damage to the aquifer from compaction. 9VAC25-610-140.A.6
2. Pump settings in individual wells are limited as follows. Any change in the pump setting must

receive prior approval by the Department.

Owner Well Name	DEQ Well #	Max Pump Setting (feet below land surface)*
Well 1	100-01599	155
Well 2	100-01600	155
Well 3	100-01601	155
Well 4	100-01602	155
Well 5	100-01603	155
Well 6	100-01604	155

*Max pump settings were estimated based on the USGS Eastern Shore Hydrogeologic Framework. Following the collection of the geophysical log data required by this permit, updated site-specific maximum pump setting depths will be provided by the Department to replace these estimated limits.

C. Reporting

1. Water withdrawn from each well shall be recorded consistently at the end of each month and reported to the Office of Water Supply, in paper or electronic format, on a form provided by the Department by the tenth (10th) day of each January, April, July and October for the respective previous calendar quarter. Records of water use shall be maintained by the Permittee in accordance with Part III.F, 1 through 5 of this permit.9VAC25-610-140.A.9
2. The Permittee shall report any amount in excess of the permitted withdrawal limit by the fifth (5th) day of the month following the month when such a withdrawal occurred. Failure to report may result in compliance or enforcement activities. 9VAC25-610-140.C
3. The following is a summary of reporting requirements for specific facility wells:

Owner Well Name	DEQ Well #	Reporting Requirements
Well 1	100-01599	Water Use
Well 2	100-01600	Water Use
Well 3	100-01601	Water Use
Well 4	100-01602	Water Use
Well 5	100-01603	Water Use
Well 6	100-01604	Water Use

D. Water Conservation and Management Plan

1. The Water Conservation and Management Plan (WCMP) submitted in the application received (November 1, 2018) and subsequently amended and then approved by the Department is incorporated by reference into this permit and shall have the same effect as any condition contained in this permit and may be enforced as such.
2. By the end of the first year of the permit cycle (Mo Dy, 2020) the Permittee shall submit a detailed description of their leak detection and repair program activities and documentation to the Department that these activities have been conducted. This documentation shall include frequency of the activities completed and the findings and results of the activities during the first year of the permit term. 9VAC25-610-100.B.1.b,2.b,or 3.b
3. As soon as completed but not later than the end of the second year of the permit cycle (Mo Dy,

Draft April 30, 2010

2021) the Permittee shall submit to the Department results of a 12 month audit of the total amount of groundwater used in the distribution system and the separate amounts used for drinking and cooling. This audit report shall include the flock cycle start and end dates during the year, and any necessary changes to the leak detection and repair program or operations that affected water use. 9VAC25-610-100.B.1.b,2.b,or 3.b

4. A report on the plan's effectiveness in maintaining or reducing water use and a summary of proposed revisions to the WCMP to address any elements that can be improved based on operations to date shall be submitted by the end of years five (Mo Dy, 2024) and ten (Mo Dy, 2029) of the permit term. These reports shall include as appropriate: 9VAC25-610-140.C
 - a. Any new water saving equipment installed or water saving processes adopted;
 - b. A summary of the operation of the cooling system for the houses during the report period including what months the cooling system was operated;
 - c. Evaluation of the leak detection and repair program with a summary of any significant leaks found and repaired; and
 - d. A summary of the flock cycles and overall water use patterns for each year covered by the report.
5. If revisions or additions to the plan are necessary an updated WCMP shall be submitted to the Department for approval along with the report prior to implementation of the revised plan
6. Records of activities conducted pursuant to the WCMP are to be submitted to DEQ upon request.

E. Mitigation Plan

The Mitigation Plan approved on June 20, 2018 by the Department is incorporated by reference into this permit and shall have the same effect as any condition contained in this permit and may be enforced as such. 9VAC25-610-110.D.3.g

F. Well Tags

1. Each well that is included in this permit shall have affixed to the well casing, in a prominent place, a permanent well identification plate that records, at a minimum, the DEQ well identification number, the groundwater withdrawal permit number, the total depth of the well, and the screened intervals in the well. Such well identification plates shall be in a format specified by the Board and are available from the Department. 9VAC25-610-140.A.12
2. Well tags shall be affixed to the appropriate well casing within 30 days of receiving the tags from the Department. The accompanying well tag installation certification form shall be returned to the Department within 60 days of receipt of the tags. 9VAC25-610-140.C

Part II Special Conditions

Pursuant to 9VAC25-610-140.B and C, the following Special Conditions apply to this permit in order to protect the public welfare, safety, and health or conserve, protect and help ensure the beneficial use of groundwater.

A. Geophysical Log Data Collection

By May 31, 2021, a complete suite of geophysical logs (Spontaneous Potential, Single Point Resistance, 16/64 Short and Long Normal, Natural Gamma at a scale of 20 ft per inch) shall be obtained from at least 2 boreholes at the locations and depths approved by the Department during the coordination process. Given the unknown hydrogeology at the site and the known potential for significant horizontal variability, additional geophysical logs may be required as determined by the Department during the drilling work to assess the well field area. An electronic and hard copy of the geophysical logs shall be submitted to the Department within 30 days of collection to allow determination of the top and bottom of the aquifer in use. 9VAC25-610-140.C

At least two months prior to the scheduled geophysical logging, the Permittee shall notify the Department of the drilling timetable to receive any further guidance needed on performing the geophysical logging and to allow scheduling of Department staff to make a site visit during the drilling of the borehole and/or the geophysical logging. Geophysical log data collected without the oversight of the Department will not be accepted.

B. Pump Intake Determination and Reset

Within 90 days of notification of the maximum pump setting depth as determined by Department staff based on new geophysical log data obtained by the Permittee as required by the permit, the Permittee shall submit documentation from a certified well provider, or other source as accepted by the Department, that the pump intake for each production well is set above the setting stated in the notification.

C. Meter Installation Verification/Correction

If notified by DEQ through an inspection report that meters meeting the requirements set forth in Part III Condition I of this permit have not been correctly installed on each production well in such a manner as to record total withdrawals from the well including both cooling water and drinking water, the Permittee shall correct any identified meter issues within 60 days of notification.

D. Unknown Well Construction

By May 31, 2021 the Permittee shall perform a camera survey of Well #1, DEQ#100-01599 to determine the well depth, casing size(s) and type(s), and screen interval(s). This evaluation is also to include documentation of the pump intake depth and capacity. A video of the survey and a completed GW-2 form base on the camera survey results is to be submitted to the Department within 30 days of completion.

At least 30 days prior to the scheduled camera survey, the Permittee shall notify the Department of the survey schedule to receive any further guidance needed and to allow scheduling of Department staff to make a site visit during the camera survey. A camera survey with inconclusive/unclear data will not be accepted by the Department. Undocumented wells will be required to be abandoned in the next permit term.

Part III

General Conditions

A. Duty to Comply

The Permittee shall comply with all conditions of the permit. Nothing in this permit shall be construed to relieve the permit holder of the duty to comply with all applicable federal and state statutes, regulations and prohibitions. Any permit violation is a violation of the law and is grounds for enforcement action, permit termination, revocation, modification, or denial of a permit application. 9VAC25-610-130.A

B. Duty to Cease or Confine Activity

It shall not be a defense for a Permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the activity for which a permit has been granted in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of the permit. 9VAC25-610-130.B

C. Duty to Mitigate

The Permittee shall take all reasonable steps to avoid all adverse impacts that may result from this withdrawal as defined in 9VAC25-610-10 and provide mitigation of the adverse impact when necessary as described in 9VAC25-610-110.D.3.g. 9VAC25-610-130.C

D. Inspection, Entry, and Information Requests

Upon presentation of credentials, the Permittee shall allow the Board, the Department, or any duly authorized agent of the Board, at reasonable times and under reasonable circumstances, to enter upon the Permittee's property, public or private, and have access to, inspect and copy any records that must be kept as part of the permit conditions, and to inspect any facilities, well(s), water supply system, operations, or practices (including sampling, monitoring and withdrawal) regulated or required under the permit. For the purpose of this section, the time for inspection shall be deemed reasonable during regular business hours. Nothing contained herein shall make an inspection time unreasonable during an emergency. 9VAC25-610-130.D

E. Duty to Provide Information

The Permittee shall furnish to the Board or Department, within a reasonable time, any information that the Board may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying or revoking, reissuing, or terminating the permit, or to determine compliance with the permit. The Permittee shall also furnish to the Board or Department, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by regulation or this permit. 9VAC25-610-130.E

F. Monitoring and Records Requirements

1. The Permittee shall maintain a copy of the permit on-site and/or shall make the permit available upon request. 9VAC25-610-130.E
2. Monitoring of parameters shall be conducted according to approved analytical methods as specified in the permit. 9VAC25-610-130.F.1
3. Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity. 9VAC25-610-130.F.2
4. The Permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart or electronic recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by the permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for the permit, for a period of at least three years from the date of the expiration of a granted permit. This period may be extended by request of the Board at any time. 9VAC25-610-130.F.3
5. Records of monitoring information shall include as appropriate: 9VAC25-610-130.F.4
 - a. the date, exact place and time of sampling or measurements;
 - b. the name(s) of the individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
 - c. the date the analyses were performed;
 - d. the name(s) of the individual(s) who performed the analyses;
 - e. the analytical techniques or methods supporting the information, such as observations,
 - f. readings, calculations and bench data used;
 - g. the results of such analyses; and
 - h. chain of custody documentation.

G. Environmental Laboratory Certification

The Permittee shall comply with the requirement for certification of laboratories conducting any tests, analyses, measurements, or monitoring required pursuant to the State Water Control Law (§ [62.1-44.2](#) et seq.), Environmental Laboratory Certification Program (§ 2.2-1105 et seq.), Certification for Noncommercial Environmental Laboratories (1VAC30-45), and/or Accreditation for Commercial Environmental Laboratories (1VAC30-46), and

- a. Ensure that all samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity.

Draft April 30, 2010

- b. Conduct monitoring according to procedures approved under 40CFR Part 136 or alternative methods approved by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- c. Periodically calibrate and perform maintenance procedures on all monitoring and analytical instrumentation at intervals that will ensure accuracy of measurements. (1VAC30-45-20)

H. Future Permitting Actions

1. A permit may be modified or revoked as set forth in Part VI of the Regulations. 9VAC25-610-290 and 9VAC25-610-130.G
2. If a Permittee files a request for permit modification or revocation, or files a notification of planned changes, or anticipated noncompliance, the permit terms and conditions shall remain effective until the Board makes a final case decision. This provision shall not be used to extend the expiration date of the effective permit. 9VAC25-610-130.G
3. Permits may be modified or revoked upon the request of the Permittee, or upon Board initiative, to reflect the requirements of any changes in the statutes or regulations. 9VAC25-610-130.G
4. The Permittee shall schedule a meeting with the Department prior to submitting a new, expanded or modified permit application. 9VAC25-610-85
5. A new permit application shall be submitted 270 days prior to the expiration date of this permit, unless permission for a later date has been granted by the Board, to continue a withdrawal greater than or equal to 300,000 gallons in any month while an application for a renewal is being processed. 9VAC25-610-96
6. A new permit application shall be submitted 270 days prior to any proposed modification to this permit that will (i) result in an increase of withdrawal above permitted limits; or (ii) violate the terms and conditions of this permit. 9VAC25-610-96
7. The applicant shall provide all information described in 9VAC25-610-94 for any reapplication. 9VAC25-610-96.C
8. The Permittee must notify the Department in writing of any changes to owner and facility contact information within 30 days of the change. 9VAC25-610-140.C

I. Metering and Equipment Requirements

1. Each well and/or impoundment or impoundment system shall have an in-line totalizing flow meter to read gallons, cubic feet, or cubic meters installed prior to beginning the permitted use. Meters shall produce volume determinations within plus or minus 10% of actual flows. 9VAC25-610-140.A.7.b
 - a. A defective meter or other device must be repaired or replaced within 30 days.
 - b. A defective meter is not grounds for not reporting withdrawals. During any period when a meter is defective, generally accepted engineering methods shall be used to estimate withdrawals. The period during which the meter was defective must be clearly identified in

the groundwater withdrawal report required by Part I, Subsection D of this permit. An alternative method for determining flow may be approved by the Board on a case-by-case basis.

2. Each well shall be equipped in a manner such that water levels can be measured during pumping and non-pumping periods without dismantling any equipment. Any opening for tape measurement of water levels shall have an inside diameter of at least 0.5 inches and be sealed by a removable plug or cap. The Permittee shall provide a tap for taking raw water samples from each permitted well. 9VAC25-610-140.A.7.e

J. Minor Modifications

1. A minor modification to this permit must be made to replace an existing well(s) or add an additional well(s) provided that the well(s) is screened in the same aquifer(s) as the existing well(s), and is in the near vicinity of the existing well(s), the total groundwater withdrawal does not increase, the area of impact does not increase, and the well has been approved by the Department prior to construction. 9VAC25-610-330.B.4 and 5
2. A minor modification to this permit must be made to combine withdrawals governed by multiple permits when the systems are physically connected as long as interconnection will not result in additional groundwater withdrawal and the area of impact will not increase. 9VAC25-610-330.B.6
3. Minor modifications to this permit must also be made to:
 - a. Change an interim compliance date up to 120 days from the original compliance date, as long as the change does not interfere with the final compliance date. 9VAC25-610-330.B.7
 - b. Allow for change in ownership when the Board determines no other change in the permit is necessary and the appropriate written agreements are provided in accordance with the transferability of permits and special exceptions. 9VAC25-610-320 and 9VAC25-610-330.B.8
 - c. Revise a Water Conservation and Management Plan to update conservation measures being implemented by the Permittee that increase the amount of groundwater conserved. 9VAC25-610-330.B.9

K. Well Construction

At least 30 days prior to the scheduled construction of any well(s), the Permittee shall notify the Department of the construction timetable and receive prior approval of the well(s) location(s) and acquire the DEQ Well number. All wells shall be constructed in accordance with the following requirements.

1. A well site approval letter or well construction permit must be obtained from the Virginia Department of Health prior to construction of the well. 9VAC25-610-130.A
2. A complete suite of geophysical logs (Spontaneous Potential, Single Point Resistance, 16/64 Short and Long Normal, Natural Gamma) shall be completed for the well and submitted to the Department

along with the corresponding completion report. 9VAC25-610-140.C

3. The Permittee shall evaluate the geophysical log and driller's log information to estimate the top of the target aquifer and; therefore, a depth below which the pump shall not be set. The Permittee's determination of the top of the target aquifer shall be submitted to the Department for review and approval, or approved on site by the Department's Groundwater Characterization staff, prior to installation of any pump. 9VAC25-610-140.A.6
4. The Permittee shall install gravel packs and grout in a manner that prevents leakage between aquifers. Gravel pack shall be terminated close to the top of the well screen(s) and shall not extend above the top of the target aquifer. 9VAC25-610-140.C
5. A completed GW-2 Form and any additional water well construction documents shall be submitted to the Department within 30 days of the completion of any well and prior to the initiation of any withdrawal from the well. 9VAC25-610-140.C. The assigned DEQ Well number shall be included on all well documents. 9VAC25-610-140.C
6. In addition to the above requirements, construction of a Water Level Monitoring State Observation Well (SOW) requires:
 - a. The Permittee shall coordinate activities with the Department's Groundwater Characterization Program (GWCP) to determine the appropriate observation well location and construction schedule, along with the needed screen interval(s), and other completion details following review of geophysical logging. 9VAC25-610-140.C
 - b. Prior to preparation of bid documents for construction of the observation well, the Permittee shall notify the Department and shall include any GWCP requirements in the bid documents. At a minimum, the Department will require a pre-bid meeting with interested drilling contractors and a pre-construction meeting with the successful bidder. 9VAC25-610-140.C
 - c. Instrumentation to meet the requirements for real-time data transmission consistent with the State Observation Well Network shall be purchased by the Permittee. The Permittee shall submit a purchase order based on the Department's equipment specifications for review and approval prior to purchase of the equipment. The Permittee shall not be required to install the equipment. 9VAC25-610-140.C
7. In addition to the above requirements, construction of a Chloride Monitoring SOW requires:
 - a. The Permittee shall coordinate activities with the Department's Groundwater Characterization Program (GWCP) to determine the appropriate observation well location and construction schedule, along with the needed screen interval(s), and other completion details following review of geophysical logging. 9VAC25-610-140.C
 - b. Prior to preparation of bid documents for construction of the observation well, the Permittee shall notify the Department and shall include any GWCP requirements in the bid documents. At a minimum, the Department will require a pre-bid meeting with interested drilling contractors and a pre-construction meeting with the successful bidder. 9VAC25-610-140.C

- c. Instrumentation to meet the requirements for real-time data transmission consistent with the State Observation Well Network shall be purchased by the Permittee. The Permittee shall submit a purchase order based on the Department's equipment specifications for review and approval prior to purchase of the equipment. The Permittee shall not be required to install the equipment. 9VAC25-610-140.C
- d. Instrumentation to meet the requirements for continuous measurement of specific conductance from multiple levels within the well screen shall be purchased by the Permittee. The Permittee shall submit a purchase order based on the Department's equipment specifications for review and approval prior to purchase of the equipment. The Permittee shall not be required to install the equipment. 9VAC25-610-140.C

L. Permit Reopening

This permit may be reopened for the purpose of modifying the conditions of the permit as follows:

- a. To meet new regulatory standards duly adopted by the Board. 9VAC25-610-140.A.11
- b. When new information becomes available about the permitted withdrawal, or the impact of the withdrawal, which had not been available at permit issuance and would have justified the application of different conditions at the time of issuance. 9VAC25-610-310.B.1
- c. When the reported withdrawal is less than 60% of the permitted withdrawal amount for a five year period. 9VAC25-610-310.B.2
- d. If monitoring information indicates the potential for adverse impacts to groundwater quality or level due to this withdrawal. 9VAC25-610-140.C

COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

PERMIT ISSUANCE FACT SHEET

Groundwater Withdrawal Permit Number: GW0072900

Application Date: August 11, 2017

The Department of Environmental Quality (Department or DEQ) has reviewed the application for a Groundwater Withdrawal Permit. Based on the information provided in the application and subsequent revisions, DEQ has determined that there is a reasonable assurance that the activity authorized by the permit is a beneficial use as defined by the regulations. Groundwater impacts have been minimized to the maximum extent practicable. The following details the application review process and summarizes relevant information for developing the Permit and applicable conditions.

Permittee / Legal Responsible Party

Name & Address: Jan and E.T. Trader
6220 Bullbeggar Road
Withams, VA 23488
Phone: (757) 824-5828

Facility Name and Address

Name & Address: E.T. Trader Farm, Parks Farm, and Jan Farm
Trader Farm (Trader Farms)
5061 and 5062 Holland Road
New Church, VA 23415
Phone: (757) 824-5828

Contact Information:

Name: Jan and E.T. Trader
E-mail: Not applicable
Phone: (757) 824-5828 (H), (757) 990-0117 (cell)

Proposed Beneficial Use:

The proposed use for this withdrawal is for agriculture. Withdrawals will supply a poultry growing operation with water for cooling of chicken houses as well as for direct consumption by poultry.

Processing Dates

Processing Action	Date Occurred/Received
Pre-Application Meeting:	Not Applicable
Application Received:	September 16, 2017
Permit Fee Deposited by Accounting:	Not Applicable
Notice of Deficiency Sent	February 22, 2018
Response to Notice of Deficiency Received:	May 21, 2018
Local Government Ordinance Form Received:	June 20, 2018
Application Complete:	June 20, 2018
Submit Request for Technical Evaluation:	December 18, 2018
Technical Evaluation Received:	December 14, 2018
Draft Permit Package Sent:	April 30, 2019
Submit Draft Permit for Public Notice:	Mo Dy, 2019
Public Notice Published:	Mo Dy, 2019
End of 30-Day Public Comment Period:	Mo Dy, 2019
Response to Public comment:	Mo Dy, 2019
Public Meeting or Hearing:	Mo Dy, 2019

Application

Application Information

E.T. Farm, T&H Farm, and Jan Trader Farm (Trader Farms) are contiguously located poultry farms owned by Jan and E.T. Trader, located in Accomack County. Trader Farms has 9 poultry houses and 6 production wells with two older houses present on the farm that are out of commission. The houses are sized as follows: 4 houses of 43ft. width, 3 houses of 45ft. width, and 2 houses of 60ft. width. The houses are located on two separate tax parcels, located side by side, with three houses and two wells on one parcel and six hoses and remaining 4 wells on the neighboring parcel. The farm produces broilers. Additional information on how water is used at the farm is discussed in the basis of need section of the fact sheet.

The property has been owned by the Traders since 1992 and 1993, with the wells built approximately between 1995 and 2016. The construction for Well #1 is believed to be within the early 1993-1995 time period. A small amount of usage is included in the permit for on-site staff needs.

Location of Facility/Withdrawal:

Water Supply Planning Unit: Accomack & Northampton

County: Accomack County

GWMA/Aquifer: Eastern Shore/Middle Yorktown-Eastover

Conjunctive Use Source: This system uses no surface water and is therefore not a conjunctive use system.

Withdrawal Use, Current Need, and Projected Demand:

Basis of Need: Poultry farms use groundwater to provide drinking water to the birds as well as to supply water to either misting systems or evaporative cooling pads designed to regulate temperatures in the house and keep the birds cool. Cooling is primarily required in summer.

Water use for poultry farms varies seasonally as well as in response to the poultry life cycle. Generally during winter, fall, and spring, facility withdrawals rise and fall in a predictable pattern every 50-60 days, or the length of time it takes to raise a flock, with increased usage primarily resulting from increased water consumption as the birds gain weight. This water use pattern starts with low water consumption volumes for chick development and peaks in the last 20-30 days as growers seek to maximize adult weight gains. Typically, farms raise around five flocks per year with this cycle repeating each time. During the summer, withdrawal volumes increase due to additional water usage for flock cooling purposes.

Water volumes used for consumption are controlled by a computer system that provides water to the drinker system, which provides access to water for the birds but limits spillage or excess moisture from entering the house. Avoiding excess moisture is critical to bird health and as a result careful conservation of water is already a key tenet of management in a broiler house. The computer tracks water supplied to the drinking system and records the volume. This data was maintained by some farms but in many cases was not recorded long-term. Where available, data from the computer is discussed in the historic withdrawals section of the factsheet.

The cooling systems are operated based on temperature and humidity and while usage is typically restricted to summers, operation of the cooling systems tends to vary between farms. Historically, water supplied to the cooling systems was not metered so very limited data is available on usage.

Water Demand Projection: Water demands were based on estimated drinking and cooling water amounts needed to supply all the system houses. Proposed withdrawal limits were calculated based on the total of both consumption (drinking water) and cooling. Water use for consumption was calculated based upon industry standards, the average flock size, and number of flocks/year.

As no metered data on volumes used for cooling was available from farms operating on the shore, a procedure for estimating water use for cooling was developed for use based on discussions with industry stakeholders, individual farmers, and a review of available literature. House size and cooling fan capacity were identified as the major variables determining water use for cooling poultry houses. A formula based on 1.6 gallons per year per cubic foot per minute (cfm) of cooling fan capacity was determined to be representative for the Delmarva area poultry industry. The major variable for cooling fan capacity is the width of the house as that provides for the number and size of cooling fans that can be installed. The combined total width of the houses for the facility was used as the basis to estimate cooling water use. The water use calculations are attached as Fact Sheet Attachment 4. The permit requires metering of the wells to record total water use and actual amounts used for cooling will be collected.

The applicant provided estimated usage based on use data from one house. Staff compared the applicant's estimations to the calculated consumption and cooling figures based on the standard

formulas noted above, and found the estimates appears to underestimate usage. After discussion, the applicant agreed to the standard calculations for the permit withdrawal limits to help ensure sufficient water availability during the permit term. The water use calculations are attached to the fact sheet. The permit requires metering of the wells to record total water use and actual amounts used for cooling will be collected.

A small amount of water is used for general farm operation including washing equipment and cleaning houses between flocks. An amount of 100,000 g/y was estimated for these uses. In addition, the requested amounts include 1,460,000 g/y and 12,400 g/mo. for human consumption by on-site staff for the poultry farms.

Water demands are not expected to change as the amount requested represents the maximum capacity of the farm and no additional houses are considered in this permit. Therefore, no projections are included for this facility.

Withdrawal Volumes Requested: The applicant originally requested 3,850,000 annually and 316,667 monthly; however, after recalculating needs based upon the cooling calculation procedure noted above and subsequent discussions with applicant, the following withdrawal volumes were requested based upon the projected groundwater demand.

Period of Withdrawal	Actual Volume (gal.)	Volume in MGD
Maximum Monthly:	1,570,521	.051
Maximum Annual:	9,409,200	.026

DEQ Evaluation

Historic Withdrawals: No record of historic withdrawals was available for this facility as the withdrawals were not metered. Refer to the DEQ Water Demand Projection section above for more information on how water use was estimated.

Analysis of Alternative Water Supplies: The Eastern Shore of Virginia is an area primarily served by groundwater with the majority of withdrawals coming from the three confined Yorktown-Eastover (Upper/Middle/Lower) aquifers. There is limited surface water availability with the majority of streams being too small to supply sufficient water for most purposes, larger water bodies are typically tidally influenced, and water quality concerns have limited the development of these sources. Withdrawals from the surficial aquifer, or water table, are one viable alternative to withdrawals from the confined system. While withdrawals from the surficial aquifer can present additional water quality challenges in the form of iron forming bacteria and increased vulnerability to surface contaminants, it may be viable in some locations where capacity and quality are sufficient. In general, drinking water for poultry must be of higher quality than the cooling water. In most cases, site-specific data will be necessary to determine the viability of the surficial aquifer and to determine what portions of the use it can supply.

Public Water Supply: The proposed withdrawal does not contain a public water supply component.

Water Supply Plan Review: A Water Supply Planner coordination request was sent on September 10, 2018 and a response was received on January 9, 2019. The response noted several key items.

The Accomack County Regional Water Supply Plan (Plan) includes irrigating agricultural facilities using both groundwater and surface water, with current permitted amounts sufficient to meet demands into 2040. The plan, however, does not include existing poultry farms in their assessments. While the seafood industry could also show future growth in the region, Section 4.0 of the ANPDC Groundwater Management Plan details industrial water for seafood and poultry processing, noting over 90% of industrial groundwater usage is related to poultry processing. WSP Staff note existing water quality concerns for surface waters and no significant water surpluses or sources in Accomack County to serve as alternative sources. Additionally, WSP staff reviewed the current alternatives under consideration, such as water table wells, and noted that the ability of the National Resources Conservation Service's (NRCS) Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) program to fund such efforts is currently unknown. The current lack of inclusion of poultry in the region's plan, existing water quality and alternative source concerns, and the unknown status of funding for alternative development underlines potential regional resource concerns to be addressed in future planning efforts.

DEQ Recommended Withdrawal Limits: The recommended withdrawal limits are based on the total of both consumption (drinking water) and cooling. Water use for consumption was evaluated based on estimated data from the farm and the standard calculations developed by stakeholders. DEQ staff evaluated the revised estimated water use based on discussions with the applicant and determined the values provided a reasonable starting point for estimating annual and monthly withdrawal amounts.

DEQ staff evaluated the volumes requested for cooling and determined they were accurately calculated using the procedure discussed in more detail above. Given the lack of data available for evaluating poultry water use, DEQ believes the methods employed are conservative enough to provide sufficient water for the farm to continue operation while still providing a reasonable limit for the permits. It is expected that as more metered data becomes available, withdrawal limits may be reduced in cases where actual water use is significantly lower than the permit limits.

Withdrawal limits were rounded to nearest hundred thousand in accordance with DEQ's April 6, 2015 "Rounding Memo". DEQ recommends the following withdrawal volumes based upon evaluation of the groundwater withdrawal permit application.

Period of Withdrawal	Actual Volume (gal.)	Volume in MGD
Maximum Monthly:	1,600,000	0.051
Maximum Annual:	9,500,000	0.026

Technical Evaluation: Aquaveo, LLC performed a technical evaluation of the application for the Department based on the VAHydroGW-ES model. As an aquifer pump test was not performed, the properties from the VAHydroGW-ES model were used to simulate the potential drawdown resulting from the proposed withdrawal. The model uses a base simulation which includes all existing permits (except the applicant wells) operating at their 2017 maximum annual withdrawal limit allowed under the terms of their permit for all Ground Water Management Area (GWMA) permit holders. This base simulation is then executed for 50 years. A second 50-year simulation

was then conducted using the VAHydroGW-ES model with the applicant's proposed withdrawals added to the base simulation to simulate drawdown resulting from the applicant's wells using the proposed withdrawal volumes. The objectives of this evaluation were to determine the areas of any aquifers that will experience at least one foot of water level decline due to the proposed withdrawal (the Area of Impact or AOI), to determine the potential for the proposed withdrawal to cause salt-water intrusion, and to determine if the proposed withdrawal meets the 80% drawdown criteria. A summary of the results of the evaluation are provided below and the full technical evaluation is attached to this fact sheet as Attachment 1.

Aquaveo, LLC reviewed and compared simulated 2017 water levels from the reported use to USGS measured water levels in observation wells closest to the applicant's withdrawal for the same year for the Upper, Middle, and Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifers. Comparing the VAHydroGW-ES 2017 Historic Use Water Level with the USGS Network Well 2017 Water Level provides a method for judging the accuracy of the VAHydroGW-ES model. They noted that the water levels obtained from the regional observation networks for the Upper, Middle, and Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifers each fall within 1-6 feet of simulated values. Aquaveo also noted that the observed water levels in all three aquifers exhibit yearly fluctuations in water levels of approximately 2 to 4 ft. in the Upper Yorktown-Eastover and up to 35 ft. in both the Middle and Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifer. Water levels simulated by the VAHydroGW-ES do not fluctuate in the same manner because the pumping and recharge simulated in the model for any given year are averaged over the year and entered in the model as the average value for the year. Aquaveo concluded that while there are some variations between the observed and simulated water levels, the fluctuations and general patterns observed in the USGS wells are simulated by the VAHydroGW-ES model and the water levels from the two sources are in general agreement. Differences between observed and simulated water levels will be noted and addressed during the next calibration of the VAHydroGW-ES model.

The potential for adverse changes to water quality due to increases salinity resulting from the proposed withdrawal was evaluated using transient, density-dependent, SEAWAT simulations using the VAHydroGW-ES. The results indicated that no model cells simulate an increase in chloride concentration greater than 30 mg/L due to the proposed withdrawal. Therefore, the VAHydroGW-ES model results do not indicate the potential for reduced water quality.

The results of the VAHydroGW-ES simulations predict areas of impact due to the proposed withdrawal in the Middle and Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifers. The Area of Impact (AOI), or the area in which the withdrawal is expected to result in a drawdown of at least 1 foot, extend a maximum distance of approximately 1.6 and 1.1 miles from the production center in the Middle and Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifers. As the AOI extends beyond the property line, a mitigation plan is required and will be incorporated into the permit. The modeled area of impact determines the area in which the facility must evaluate any impacts according to the process laid out in the mitigation plan.

With the inclusion of the proposed withdrawal, the model simulated water levels at 4.1, -4.1, and -2.8 ft. msl for the Upper, Middle, and Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifers, respectively. The 80% drawdown criterion allows the potentiometric water level (based on the critical surface elevation calculated from the VAHydroGW-ES data) to be reduced to -72.7, -114.6, and -168.1 ft. msl for the Upper, Middle, and Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifers, respectively. Therefore, the water levels in the VAHydroGW-ES cell containing the applicant wells for each confined aquifer are not

simulated to fall below the critical surface. Additionally, no new VAHydroGW-ES cells are simulated to have water levels below the critical surface. Therefore, this withdrawal is within the limits set by the 80% drawdown criterion.

Aquaveo, LLC concluded that the proposed withdrawals meet technical criteria for permit issuance. Maps of the AOIs are included in the attached Mitigation Plan.

Part I

Operating Conditions

Authorized Withdrawals:

Owner Well Name	DEQ Well #	Aquifer*	Type	Max Pump Setting (ft. bls)*
Well 1	100-01599	Middle Yorktown-Eastover	Production	155
Well 2	100-01600	Middle Yorktown-Eastover	Production	155
Well 3	100-01601	Middle Yorktown-Eastover	Production	155
Well 4	100-01602	Middle Yorktown-Eastover	Production	155
Well 5	100-01603	Middle Yorktown-Eastover	Production	155
Well 6	100-01604	Middle Yorktown-Eastover	Production	155

*Max pump settings and aquifer were estimated based on the USGS Eastern Shore Hydrogeologic Framework and will be updated using site-specific geophysical data and camera survey data collected as required by the permit.

Apportionment:

Apportionment of withdrawals is expected to be fairly equally spread across all facility wells and the permit does not include apportionment limits

Additional Wells:

There are no additional observation, abandoned, or out of service wells associated with this facility.

Pump Intake Settings:

The pump intake depths for Wells #2 through #4 were reported to be 120 ft bls, while the pump intake for Well #5 was reported to be set at 150 ft bls. The depth of the pump intake for Well #1 was not documented. No geophysical log data was available for this site and therefore aquifer elevation for the tops of the aquifer in use was estimated using the USGS Eastern Shore Hydrogeologic Framework. Once geophysical log data is obtained in compliance with the permit, DEQ geologists will determine the top of the aquifer in use, which will be the pump intake limit above which the pumps must be set. The permittee will have 90 days to ensure all pumps meet the intake limits once notified of the limits by DEQ.

Withdrawal Reporting:

Groundwater withdrawals are to be recorded monthly and reported quarterly.

Water Conservation and Management Plan:

A Water Conservation and Management Plan (WCMP) meeting the requirements of 9VAC25-610-100.B was submitted and reviewed as part of the application process. The accepted Plan is to be followed by the permittee as an operational Plan for the facility/water system.

- A detailed description of the leak detection and repair program activities and documentation to the Department that these activities have been conducted is due by the end of the first year of the permit term.
- A result of a 12 month audit of the total amount of groundwater used in the distribution system and the amounts for drinking and cooling water, documentation of the flock cycle start and end dates, and any necessary changes to the operation affecting water use is due by the end of the second year of the permit term.
- A report on the plan's effectiveness in maintaining or reducing water use amounts needed, including revisions to those elements of the WCMP that can be improved and addition of other elements found to be effective based on operations to date shall be submitted by the end of years five (Mo Dy, 2024) and ten (Mo Dy, 2030) of the permit term.

Mitigation Plan:

The predicted AOI resulting from the Technical Evaluation extends beyond the property boundaries in the Middle and Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifers. Given this prediction, a Mitigation Plan to address potential claims from existing well owners within the predicted area of impact is included in the permit by reference.

Well Tags:

Well tags will be transmitted with the final permit.

Part II Special Conditions

Geophysical Log Data Collection:

Geophysical log information is needed to evaluate the top of the aquifer in use and the regulatory permitted pump intake limit, and to determine whether the current pump settings meet regulatory limitations. The Department requires collection of a geophysical log for each new well to be included in a Groundwater Withdrawal Permit. Given the large number of wells associated with poultry facilities, the Department agreed to work with applicants that had constructed wells prior to application to allow for a reduced number of geophysical logs required to represent the wells keeping in mind the need to evaluate lateral variation in the hydrogeology. The Permittee must contact DEQ at least two months prior to scheduling the geophysical logs to allow for Department scheduling.

The collection of geophysical log data requires a borehole to be drilled at least to the depth of the deepest facility well, or an alternative depth at the discretion of the Department, and the logging equipment run down the full depth of the hole. Geophysical logging is to include 16"/64" Normal, Single Point, Self-Potential, and Natural Gamma at a scale of 20 feet per inch. Collection of a full suite of geophysical logs

and a drillers log is required by May 31, 2021 at 2 locations with the locations and depth(s) approved by DEQ. Additional geophysical log locations may be required by Department staff as warranted depending on site hydrogeology to evaluate lateral variation in the aquifer top elevations. These logs will be used to represent the remaining facility wells. Department staff must be present for the geophysical logging to evaluate the log and well cuttings.

Pump Intake Determination and Reset:

Within 90 days of notification of pump intake limits by the Department based on the geophysical data, the permittee shall ensure all pump intakes are set above the identified limits. The Permittee is to notify the Department of the work schedule and to submit written documentation of the pump setting within 30 days of the work.

Meter Installation/Verification:

In cases where meters are found to be incorrectly installed or otherwise failing to capture the total water use of each well, DEQ will notify the permittee of such via an inspection report and the permittee shall correct any meter issues within 60 days.

Unknown Well Construction:

Well Construction information was not available for the Well #1, DEQ#100-01599. A camera survey will be required to determine well construction information and document the pump intake depth by May 31, 2021). DEQ shall be notified at least two weeks prior to any camera survey being conducted to allow Department staff to be present during the camera survey. A video recording of the camera survey is required for each surveyed well. Surveys where the well and screen depths, and the pump intake depth cannot be confidently determined will not be accepted by the Department.

Part III General Conditions

General Conditions are applied to all Groundwater Withdrawal Permits, as stated in the Groundwater Withdrawal Regulations, 9VAC25-610-10 *et seq.*

Public Comment

The following sections will be completed after close of the public comment period.

Relevant Regulatory Agency Comments:

Summary of VDH Comments and Actions: This facility is not a public water supply so soliciting comments from VDH was not required.

Public Involvement during Application Process:

Local and Area wide Planning Requirements: The Accomack County Administrator indicated on May 10, 2018 that the facility's operations are consistent with all ordinances.

Public Comment/Meetings: The public notice was published in the Eastern Shore Post on Mo Dy, 2019. The public comment period ran from Mo Dy, 2019 to Mo Dy, 2019.

Changes in Permit Part II Due to Public Comments

Changes in Permit Part III Due to Public Comments

Staff Findings and Recommendations

Based on review of the permit application, staff provides the following findings.

- The proposed activity is consistent with the provisions of the Ground Water Management Act of 1992, and will protect other beneficial uses.
- The proposed permit addresses minimization of the amount of groundwater needed to provide the intended beneficial use.
- The effect of the impact will not cause or contribute to significant impairment of state waters.
- This permit includes a plan to mitigate adverse impacts on existing groundwater users.

Staff recommends Groundwater Withdrawal Permit Number GW0072900 be issued as proposed.

Attachments

1. **Technical Evaluation**
2. **Water Conservation Plan**
3. **Mitigation Plan**
4. **Water Use Calculation Worksheet**
5. **Public Comment Sheet**

Approved: _____
Director, Office of Water Supply

Date: _____

**COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

TECHNICAL EVALUATION FOR PROPOSED GROUNDWATER WITHDRAWAL

Date: December 14, 2018

Application /Permit Number: GW0072900

Owner / Applicant Name: E.T. Trader

Facility / System Name: Trader Farms

Facility Type: Agriculture – Poultry Farm

Facility / System Location: Accomack County

The Commonwealth of Virginia’s Groundwater Withdrawal Regulations (9VAC25-610-110(D) state that, for a permit to be issued for a new withdrawal, to expand an existing withdrawal, or reapply for a current withdrawal, a technical evaluation shall be conducted. This report documents the results of the technical evaluation conducted to meet the requirements for the issuance of a permit to withdrawal groundwater within a Groundwater Management Area as defined in (9VAC25-600-10 et seq.).

This evaluation determines the:

- (1) The Area of Impact (AOI): The AOI for an aquifer is the areal extent of each aquifer where one foot or more of drawdown is predicted to occur as a result of the proposed withdrawal.
- (2) Water Quality: The potential for the proposed withdrawal to cause salt water intrusion into any portions of any aquifers or the movement of waters of lower quality to areas where such movement would result in adverse impacts on existing groundwater users or the groundwater resource as per (9VAC25-610-110(D)(2), and
- (3) The Eighty Percent Drawdown (80% Drawdown): The proposed withdrawal in combination with all existing lawful withdrawals will not lower water levels, in any confined aquifer that the withdrawal impacts, below a point that represents 80% of the distance between the land surface and the top of the aquifer at the points where the one-foot drawdown contour is predicted for the proposed withdrawal as per 9VAC25-610-110(D)(3)(h).

Summary of Requested Withdrawal:

General:

In response to the Department of Environmental Quality’s (DEQ) Compliance Assistance Framework initiative, a cohort of poultry farms in Accomack County were identified as potentially requiring a groundwater withdrawal permit (GWWP). The farms primarily grow broilers which are processed by several poultry integrators located in the area. These farms use groundwater to provide drinking water to the birds as well as to supply water to either misting systems or evaporative cooling pads which cool the birds. Cooling is primarily required in summer. Most wells associated with poultry farms in Accomack County are screened in either the upper, middle, or lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifers. The use of the Columbia (water-table) aquifer is being investigated by the industry and this aquifer may be used in the future to augment withdrawals from confined aquifers where possible.

Water use for poultry farms varies seasonally as well as in response to the poultry life cycle. Generally during winter, fall, and spring, facility withdrawals rise and fall in a fairly predictable pattern every 50-60 days, with usage primarily resulting from water consumption. This pattern starts with low water

consumption volumes for chick development and maxes out in the last 20-30 days as breeders seek to maximize adult weight gains. Typically, farms raise around five flocks per year with this cycle repeating each time. During the summer, withdrawal volumes increase due to additional water usage for flock cooling purposes. A few farms have additional sanitary and other agricultural uses (crops/other livestock).

Facility Specific:

Trader Farms is a contiguous facility that operates as 3 separate farms: E.T. Farm, Parks Farm, and Jan Trader Farm. The combined facilities have a total of 9 poultry houses and 6 production wells (two older houses present on the farm are out of commission). The houses are: 4 houses at 43' width, 3 houses at 45' width, and 2 houses at 60' width. Proposed withdrawal limits were calculated based on the total of both consumption (drinking water) and cooling. Water use for consumption was calculated based on limited meter data and DEQ calculation formats. Water use for cooling was calculated based on estimates based on house size and cooling fan.

Volumes include additional usage for onsite residential sanitary.

The proposed withdrawal limits and well construction details are as follows:

Proposed Withdrawal Limits:

Proposed Withdrawal Limits	
Annual Value	9,500,000 (26,027 average gpd)
Monthly Value	1,600,000 (51,613 average gpd)

Proposed Apportionment of Withdrawal:

Due to the well and plumbing configuration, the withdrawal will be apportioned fairly equally between the system wells.

Production Well(s):

Identification	Location	Construction	Pump Intake	Source Aquifer
Owner Well Name: Well #1 DEQ Well Number: 100-01599 MPID: 375808075344101	Lat: 37° 58' 8.19" Lon: -75° 34' 40.99" Datum: WGS84 Elevation: 11	Completion Date: Not Determined Screens (ft-bls): Not Determined Total Depth (ft-bls): 130 estimated	Not Determined	Middle Yorktown -Eastover expected
Owner Well Name: Well #2 DEQ Well Number: 100-01600 MPID: 375808075344202	Lat: 37° 58' 7.76" Lon: -75° 34' 42.06" Datum: WGS84 Elevation: 11	Completion Date: 5/11/1995 Screens (ft-bls): 160-180 Total Depth (ft-bls): 180	120	Middle Yorktown -Eastover

Owner Well Name: Well #3 DEQ Well Number: 100-01601 MPID: 375806075344303	Lat: 37° 58' 6.14" Lon: -75° 34' 43.24" Datum: WGS84 Elevation: 11	Completion Date: 1/26/2007 Screens (ft-bls): 170-180 Total Depth (ft-bls): 180	120	Middle Yorktown -Eastover
Owner Well Name: Well #4 DEQ Well Number: 100-01602 MPID: 375826075343604	Lat: 37° 58' 25.94" Lon: -75° 34' 26.21" Datum: WGS84 Elevation: 11	Completion Date: 9/3/2008 Screens (ft-bls): 160-180 Total Depth (ft-bls): 180	120	Middle Yorktown -Eastover
Owner Well Name: Well #5 DEQ Well Number: 100-01603 MPID: 375824075344205	Lat: 37° 58' 23.43" Lon: -75° 34' 23.88" Datum: WGS84 Elevation: 11	Completion Date: 6/11/2013 Screens (ft-bls): 170-180 Total Depth (ft-bls): 180	120	Middle Yorktown -Eastover
Owner Well Name: Well #6 DEQ Well Number: 100-01604 MPID: 375820075342806	Lat: 37° 58' 19.63" Lon: -75° 34' 27.5" Datum: WGS84 Elevation: 11	Completion Date: 9/27/2016 Screens (ft-bls): 170-180 Total Depth (ft-bls): 180	150	Middle Yorktown -Eastover

Geologic Setting:

The Trader Farms wells (applicant wells) are located in northern Accomack County. The production wells are screened in the Middle Yorktown-Eastover aquifer. The upper portion of the Yorktown-Eastover aquifer (described in the 2006 Virginia Coastal Plain Hydrologic Framework¹ (VCPHF) as a combination of the Upper, Middle, and Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifers) is composed primarily of estuarine to marine quartz sands of the Yorktown Formation of Pliocene age. The nearest USGS geologic cross section found in USGS Professional Paper 1731 is cross-section GS-GS' (see attached figure at the end of the report).

¹ McFarland, E.R., and Bruce, T.S., 2006, The Virginia Coastal Plain Hydrogeologic Framework: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1731, 118 p., 25 pls.

Virginia Eastern Shore Model data:

The following table lists the location of the applicant production wells within the Virginia Eastern Shore Model² (VAHydroGW-ES).

VAHydroGW-ES Model Grid				
Well	Well Number	MPID	Row	Column
Well #1	100-01599	375808075344101	39	35
Well #2	100-01600	375808075344202	39	35
Well #3	100-01601	375806075344303	39	35
Well #4	100-01602	375826075343604	38	36
Well #5	100-01603	375824075344205	38	36
Well #6	100-01604	375820075342806	38	36

Hydrologic Framework:

Data from the VCPHF is reported in this technical report to illustrate the hydrogeologic characteristics of the aquifers in the Virginia Eastern Shore near the applicant wells and identify major discrepancies between regional hydrogeology and site logs interpreted by the DEQ staff geologist.

The following average aquifer elevations were estimated from the VAHydroGW-ES at the model cell(s) containing the applicant production wells.

VAHydroGW-ES Average Hydrologic Unit Information		
Aquifer	Elevation (feet msl)	Depth (feet bls)
Surface	10	0
Columbia aquifer (bottom)	-29	39
Upper Yorktown-Eastover aquifer (top)	-92	101
Upper Yorktown-Eastover aquifer (bottom)	-125	134
Middle Yorktown-Eastover aquifer (top)	-145	155
Middle Yorktown-Eastover aquifer (bottom)	-172	181
Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifer (top)	-215	225
Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifer (bottom)	-304	314

Eastern Shore Hydrogeologic Framework Based Recommendations:

Due to a lack of geophysical borehole data, DEQ staff has reviewed available information and made the following preliminary determinations regarding the location of the aquifer tops for the following wells based upon a review of the GW-2 forms available and The Virginia Coastal Plain Hydrogeologic Framework (USGS Professional Paper 1731). Further evaluation of aquifer tops will be conducted during the upcoming permit term and as additional geophysical information becomes available.

² Sanford, W.E., Pope, J.P., and Nelms, D.L., 2009, Simulation of groundwater-level and salinity changes in the Eastern Shore, Virginia: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2009-5066, 125 p.

Unit	Well #1-6 (ft-bls)
Top of the Upper Yorktown-Eastover	103
Top of the Middle Yorktown-Eastover	156
Top of the Lower Yorktown-Eastover	226

Water Level Comparison:

Below water levels retrieved from the USGS regional observation network wells are compared to the simulated water levels reported in the *Virginia Eastern Shore 2017-2018 Annual Simulation of Potentiometric Groundwater Surface Elevations of Reported and Total Permitted Use* report (the 2017-2018 report) and simulation files.³ This comparison is made in order to evaluate the performance of the regional model in the vicinity of the applicant wells and assess historical groundwater trends.

The 2017-2018 report provides two sets of simulated potentiometric water surface elevations. The VAHydroGW-ES model is divided into three parts. The first portion of the model simulates water levels within the Eastern Shore aquifers from 1900 through 2017 based upon historically reported pumping amounts (the “*Historic Use Simulation*”). This portion of the model has been calibrated to match water levels observed in USGS regional observation network wells situated throughout the peninsula. The water levels reported in the 2017-2018 report are based upon two separate simulations, each simulation running from 2018 through 2067. The simulated pumping amount in these two simulations are based upon, 1) the average 2013-2017 reported withdrawal amount of wells in the VAHydroGW-ES model (the “*Reported Use Simulation*”) and, 2) the current (2018) maximum withdrawal amount allowed under their current permit for wells in the VAHydroGW-ES model (the “*Total Permitted Simulation*”). Both these simulations are an extension of the *Historic Use Simulation* and the water levels reported in the 2017-2018 report are the final water levels simulated at the end of the simulations (2067).

The “VAHydroGW-ES 2067 Reported Use Water Level,” reported in the tables below, is the simulated water level – 50 years from present – if all permitted pumping continued at the average 2013-2017 reported withdrawal amount for the next 50 years. And the “VAHydroGW-ES 2067 Total Permitted Water Level,” reported in the tables below, is the simulated water level – 50 years from present – if all Eastern Shore permitted wells were to pump at the maximum permitted amount allowed under their current permit for the next 50 years. Finally, the “VAHydroGW-ES 2017 Historic Use Water Level,” reported in the tables below, is the water level simulated for the year 2017 in the *Historic Use Simulation*.

The nearest USGS regional observation network wells to the applicant wells, completed in the Upper, Middle, or Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifers, are listed in the following tables and shown in Figure 1. For the USGS regional observation network wells, average 2017 reported water levels are shown in the following tables. Simulated water levels for the Upper, Middle, and Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifers, for the VAHydroGW-ES cells containing the USGS regional observation network wells are also shown in the following tables.

³ See *Virginia Eastern Shore 2017-2018 Annual Simulation of Potentiometric Groundwater Surface Elevations of Reported and Total Permitted Use* report and simulation files on file with the VA DEQ.




Figure 1. Nearest USGS regional observation network wells.

Comparing the VAHydroGW-ES 2017 Historic Use Water Level with the USGS Network Well 2017 Water Level provides a method for judging the accuracy of the VAHydroGW-ES. Figures 2 through 9 show graphs of the recorded water levels from the USGS observation wells listed in the following tables. These figures also show the simulated VAHydroGW-ES *Historic Use Simulation* water levels for the model cell containing each USGS well. Observing the simulated and observed water elevations together provide a second method for assessing the accuracy of the VAHydroGW-ES in the vicinity of the applicant wells.

The Upper Yorktown-Eastover VAHydroGW-ES 2017 Reported Use Water Level is a few feet lower than the USGS Network Well 2017 Water Level observed in Well 67M 11 SOW 115B; while the 2017 VAHydroGW-ES water level is a few feet higher than the level observed in Well 66M 16 SOW 110A. The water levels observed over the past approximately 40 years in both Upper Yorktown-Eastover USGS wells are shown in Figures 2 and 3. Both wells exhibit yearly fluctuations in water levels of approximately 2 to 4 feet. Water levels simulated by the VAHydroGW-ES do not fluctuate in the same manner because the pumping and recharge simulated in the model for any given year are averaged over the year and entered in the model as the average value for the year. Water levels for Well 67M 11 SOW 115B are in general agreement with the water level simulated by the VAHydroGW-ES. Water levels for Well 66M 16 SOW 110A are approximately 4 feet lower for the period of record than those simulated by the VAHydroGW-ES.

The Middle Yorktown-Eastover VAHydroGW-ES 2017 Reported Use Water Levels are one to six feet lower than the USGS Network Well 2017 Water Levels observed in Well 67M 12 SOW 115C, Well 66M 17 SOW 110B, and Well 66M 26 SOW 181D. The water levels observed over the past 30 to 40 years in the

Middle Yorktown-Eastover USGS wells are shown in Figures 4 through 6. Each well exhibits yearly fluctuations in water levels – with Well 67M 12 SOW 115C fluctuating up to 35 feet per year. Water levels simulated by the VAHydroGW-ES do not fluctuate in the same manner because the pumping and recharge simulated in the model for any given year are averaged over the year and entered in the model as the average value for the year. Water levels for the USGS Middle Yorktown-Eastover wells are in general agreement with the water level simulated by the VAHydroGW-ES – especially for wells 67M 12 SOW 115C and 66M 17 SOW 110B. While still reasonably accurate, water levels for 66M 26 SOW 181D are lower than and have diverged slightly from those simulated by the VAHydroGW-ES over the past 15 years.

The Lower Yorktown-Eastover VAHydroGW-ES 2017 Reported Use Water Levels are one to five feet lower than the USGS Network Well 2017 Water Levels observed in Well 67M 13 SOW 115D, Well 66M 18 SOW 110C, and Well 66M 25 SOW 181C. The water levels observed over the past 30 to 40 years in the Lower Yorktown-Eastover USGS wells are shown in Figures 7 through 9. Each well exhibits yearly fluctuations in water levels – with Well 67M 13 SOW 115D fluctuating up to 35 feet per year. Water levels simulated by the VAHydroGW-ES do not fluctuate in the same manner because the pumping and recharge simulated in the model for any given year are averaged over the year and entered in the model as the average value for the year. Water levels for the USGS Lower Yorktown-Eastover wells are in general agreement with the water level simulated by the VAHydroGW-ES.

Differences between observed and simulated water levels will be noted and addressed during the next calibration of the VAHydroGW-ES.

Upper Yorktown-Eastover Measurements	67M 11 SOW 115B	66M 16 SOW 110A
Distance from applicant wells (miles)	7.0	0.8
VAHydroGW-ES Row	35	41
VAHydroGW-ES Column	71	37
VAHydroGW-ES Land Surface Elevation (ft-msl)	25	10
USGS Well Land Surface Elevation (ft-msl)	14	11
USGS Network Well 2017 Water Level (ft-msl)	3.9	1.1
VAHydroGW-ES 2017 Reported Use Water Level (ft-msl)	-0.2	4.5
VAHydroGW-ES 2067 Reported Use Water Level (ft-msl)	-0.7	4.5
VAHydroGW-ES 2067 Total Permitted Water Level (ft-msl)	-2.3	4.5

Middle Yorktown-Eastover Measurements	67M 12 SOW 115C	66M 17 SOW 110B	66M 26 SOW 181D
Distance from applicant wells (miles)	7.0	0.8	2.6
VAHydroGW-ES Row	35	41	51
VAHydroGW-ES Column	71	37	33
VAHydroGW-ES Land Surface Elevation (ft-msl)	25	10	6
Land Surface Elevation (ft-msl)	13	11	6
USGS Network Well 2017 Water Level (ft-msl)	-18.1	0.3	5
VAHydroGW-ES 2017 Reported Use Water Level (ft-msl)	-21.2	-1	-1.1
VAHydroGW-ES 2067 Reported Use Water Level (ft-msl)	-23.4	-2.1	-1.7
VAHydroGW-ES 2067 Total Permitted Water Level (ft-msl)	-31.4	-2.7	-2.1

Lower Yorktown-Eastover Measurements	67M 13 SOW 115D	66M 18 SOW 110C	66M 25 SOW 181C
Distance from applicant wells (miles)	7.0	0.8	2.6
VAHydroGW-ES Row	35	41	51
VAHydroGW-ES Column	71	37	33
VAHydroGW-ES Land Surface Elevation (ft-msl)	25	10	6
Land Surface Elevation (ft-msl)	16	11	6
USGS Network Well 2017 Water Level (ft-msl)	-11.1	-0.3	2.1
VAHydroGW-ES 2017 Reported Use Water Level (ft-msl)	-16.3	-1.1	-1.1
VAHydroGW-ES 2067 Reported Use Water Level (ft-msl)	-19	-2.2	-1.7
VAHydroGW-ES 2067 Total Permitted Water Level (ft-msl)	-22.9	-2.8	-2.2




Figure 2. USGS Regional Observation Well 67M 11 SOW 115B, Upper Yorktown-Eastover aquifer water levels recorded from 1981 to present (well depth 138 ft bls, land surface 14 ft msl).




Figure 3. USGS Regional Observation Well 66M 16 SOW 110A, Upper Yorktown-Eastover aquifer water levels recorded from 1978 to present (well depth 130 ft bls, land surface 11 ft msl).




Figure 4. USGS Regional Observation Well 67M 12 SOW 115C, Middle Yorktown-Eastover aquifer water levels recorded from 1981 to present (well depth 222 ft bls, land surface 13 ft msl).




Figure 5. USGS Regional Observation Well 66M 17 SOW 110B, Middle Yorktown-Eastover aquifer water levels recorded from 1978 to present (well depth 178 ft bls, land surface 11 ft msl).




Figure 6. USGS Regional Observation Well 66M 26 SOW 181D, Middle Yorktown-Eastover aquifer water levels recorded from 1987 to present (well depth 230 ft bls, land surface 6 ft msl).




Figure 7. USGS Regional Observation Well 67M 13 SOW 115D, Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifer water levels recorded from 1981 to present (well depth 249 ft bls, land surface 16 ft msl).




Figure 8. USGS Regional Observation Well 66M 18 SOW 110C, Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifer water levels recorded from 1978 to present (well depth 240 ft bls, land surface 11 ft msl).




Figure 9. USGS Regional Observation Well 66M 25 SOW 181C, Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifer water levels recorded from 1987 to present (well depth 340 ft bls, land surface 6 ft msl).

Aquifer Test(s):

An aquifer test has not been conducted for this system and the VAHydroGW-ES model was used to evaluate the application. The following table provides the average hydrogeologic properties assigned to the VAHydroGW-ES cell(s) containing the applicant wells.

Virginia Eastern Shore Model Hydrogeologic Properties: Row 38 & 39/Column 35, & 36							
Aquifer	Top Elevation (feet msl)	Top Elevation (feet bls)	Aquifer Thickness (feet)	Horizontal Conductivity (feet/day)	Vertical Conductivity (feet/day)	Specific Storage (1/feet)	Specific Yield
Columbia	10	0	39	75	0.5	0.00001	0.15
Upper Yorktown-Eastover	-92	101	33	2	0.9	0.000004	N/A
Middle Yorktown-Eastover	-145	155	27	2	1.0	0.000004	N/A
Lower Yorktown-Eastover	-215	225	89	2	1.3	0.000004	N/A

Model Results

Evaluation of Withdrawal Impacts:

The VAHydroGW-ES model was used to simulate the effects resulting from the proposed withdrawal due to the multi-aquifer impacts. The stabilized effects resulting from the proposed withdrawal were simulated at the annual permitted withdrawal rate of 9,500,000 gallons per year (26,027 average gpd). The stabilized effects were simulated by replacing the reported use amounts in the 2017 VAHydroGW-ES Reported Use Simulation with the current maximum annual withdrawal limit allowed under the terms of their permit for all Ground Water Management Area (GWMA) permit holders. That same simulation

was executed twice, once with the proposed withdrawal removed (the *baseline simulation*), and once with the proposed withdrawal added (the *proposed withdrawal simulation*). The stabilized effects of the proposed withdrawal were considered by simulating both simulations for 50 years and observing the difference in water potentiometric levels at the end of the simulations.

Area of Impact:

The AOI for an aquifer is the area where the additional drawdown due to the proposed withdrawal exceeds one foot. The results of the VAHydroGW-ES simulations, outlined in the preceding section, predict areas of impact in the Middle and Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifers. The AOI areas extend a maximum distance of approximately 1.1 and 1.0 miles from the production center for the Middle and Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifers. AOI maps for all affected aquifers are attached to this report.

80 % Drawdown:

The 80% drawdown criterion was evaluated for all impacted, confined aquifers in the Virginia Eastern Shore using the VAHydroGW-ES *proposed withdrawal simulation*. The elevations of the top of the Upper, Middle, and Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifers at the VAHydroGW-ES cell (row 38, column 36) simulating the greatest drawdown are -93, -145, and -216 feet msl, respectively. Based on the results of the *proposed withdrawal simulation* the predicted potentiometric water levels at the same VAHydroGW-ES cell are 5.3, -3.3, and -3.4 feet msl for the Upper, Middle, and Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifers, respectively. The 80% drawdown criterion allows the potentiometric water level (based on the critical surface elevation calculated from the VAHydroGW-ES data) to be reduced to -73.2, -114.4, and -171.2 feet msl in the Upper, Middle, and Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifers, respectively. Therefore, the water levels in the VAHydroGW-ES cell containing the applicant wells for each confined aquifer are not simulated to fall below the critical surface. Additionally, no new VAHydroGW-ES cells are simulated to have water levels fall below the critical surface. Therefore, this withdrawal is within the limits set by the 80% drawdown criterion.

The requested withdrawal is allocated 100% to the Middle Yorktown-Eastover aquifer. The technical evaluation analysis indicated that the apportionment of the requested withdrawal amount among the applicant production wells had no significant effect on the outcome of the technical evaluation.

Water Quality:

The EPA has established the National Secondary Drinking Water Regulations (NSDWRs) which are non-enforceable guidelines regulating contaminants that may cause cosmetic or aesthetic (such as taste, odor, or color) effects in drinking water. The EPA recommends the secondary standards to water systems – states may choose to adopt them as enforceable standards. The EPA NSDWRs specify the limit on chloride as 250 mg/L.

The VAHydroGW-ES was created "to help the Commonwealth and local water managers better plan water use and estimate future changes in water and salinity levels in response to changes in water use."⁴ Use of the model to predict future chloride concentrations results in a "general useful understanding of system behavior, but water-resource managers must be careful in trusting the accuracy of predictions at individual wells from a regional model."⁵ Further, chloride concentrations at individual wells, predicted using the regional model, should not be relied upon to predict actual concentrations at those locations.

⁴ Sanford, W.E., Pope, J.P., and Nelms, D.L., 2009, Simulation of groundwater-level and salinity changes in the Eastern Shore, Virginia: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2009–5066, 125 p.

⁵ Sanford, W.E. and Pope, J.P., 2009, Current challenges using models to forecast seawater intrusion: lessons from the Eastern Shore of Virginia, USA. Hydrogeology Journal (2009), Volume: 18, Issue: 1, p: 73-93


The potential for adverse changes to water quality due to the requested withdrawal was evaluated using transient, density-dependent, SEAWAT simulations using the VAHydroGW-ES. Two simulations were executed – one simulation without the proposed withdrawal included and a second with the proposed withdrawal included. Both simulations were executed for 50 years. And both used the 2017 total permitted stresses, concentrations, and heads as starting conditions. In an effort to simulate the long-term effects on water quality due to the proposed withdrawal, the amount of 9,500,000 gallons per year (26,027 average gpd) was used for the duration of the second simulation. The two simulations were compared to evaluate the potential for adverse changes to water quality. The results indicated that no model cells simulate an increase in chloride concentration greater than 30 mg/L due to the proposed withdrawal. Therefore, the VAHydroGW-ES model results do not indicate the potential for reduced water quality as a result of the proposed withdrawal.

Conclusion:

The withdrawal requested by E.T. Trader for the Trader Farms withdrawal satisfies the technical evaluation criteria for permit issuance. The AOIs for the Middle and Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifers are shown in the following maps. There are no existing permitted wells located within the applicant's AOI.


Trader Farms

Area of Impact - Middle Yorktown-Eastover Aquifer



● Trader Farms Wells

○ Middle Yorktown-Eastover Aquifer Area of Impact



Simulated drawdown at or exceeding one foot in the Middle Yorktown-Eastover aquifer resulting from a 9,500,000 gallon per year (26,027 average gpd), 50 year withdrawal from the Middle Yorktown-Eastover aquifer using the VAHydroGW-ES.


Maximum radius of one foot drawdown (Area of Impact) extends approximately 1.1 miles from the pumping center.

Technical evaluation performed by Aquaveo, LLC for the Virginia DEQ, Office of Water Supply
December 14, 2018




Trader Farms

Area of Impact - Lower Yorktown-Eastover Aquifer



● Trader Farms Wells

○ Lower Yorktown-Eastover Aquifer Area of Impact



Simulated drawdown at or exceeding one foot in the Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifer resulting from a 9,500,000 gallon per year (26,027 average gpd), 50 year withdrawal from the Middle Yorktown-Eastover aquifer using the VAHydroGW-ES.

Maximum radius of one foot drawdown (Area of Impact) extends approximately 1.0 miles from the pumping center.

Technical evaluation performed by Aquaveo, LLC for the Virginia DEQ, Office of Water Supply
December 14, 2018



WCMF

1/ TO USE WATER THE MOST EFFICIENTLY AS POSSIBLE

2/ A. TOTAL OF 6 WELLS, PVC PIPE, NIPPLE TYPE DRINKERS AND RECYCLE TYPE PADS, AND SPRAY TIP FOGGERS,

B. WATER IS USED FOR DRINKING FOR BIRDS, TO COOL BIRDS, HOUSEHOLD USE FOR FAMILY LIVING AT PROPERTY, ALSO USED TO CLEAN FANS BY POWERWASHING.

C. TOTAL OF 9 HOUSES AND ABOUT 1,385,640 A YEAR

D. IT IS USED IN THE DRINKER LINES, RECYCLE PADS, AND THROUGH FOGGER SYSTEM. WATER DRAWN FROM PVC PIPE TO POWERWASH.

3/ ONLY WASTEWATER IS OFF OF FANS BEING WASHED. THAT RUNS ON GROUND IN HOUSE AND OUTSIDE WHERE FANS ARE LOCATED. VERY LITTLE AND IS ABSORBED IN THE TOPSOIL.

4/ NONE

5/ A. PRESSURE IS TURNED UP AS TO DELIVER PROPER AMOUNT OF WATER AT ALL AGES AND NO LEAKS. COOLING SYSTEM IS ONLY RUN WHEN NECESSARY AND SET TO AVOID ANY SPILLAGE.

+ spray
+ mist
+ fog
+ steam
+ smoke
+ gas
+ dust
+ dirt
+ ash
+ sand
+ gravel
+ stones
+ pebbles
+ shells
+ bones
+ teeth
+ hair
+ nails
+ skin
+ blood
+ sweat
+ tears
+ saliva
+ urine
+ feces
+ vomit
+ snot
+ mucus
+ sweat
+ tears
+ saliva
+ urine
+ feces
+ vomit
+ snot
+ mucus

triggers temp? - yes

varies based on chicks age
1 week 60-65°
2 weeks 65-70°
3 weeks 70-75°
4 weeks 75-80°
5 weeks 80-85°
6 weeks 85-90°
7 weeks 90-95°
8 weeks 95-100°
9 weeks 100-105°
10 weeks 105-110°
11 weeks 110-115°
12 weeks 115-120°
13 weeks 120-125°
14 weeks 125-130°
15 weeks 130-135°
16 weeks 135-140°
17 weeks 140-145°
18 weeks 145-150°
19 weeks 150-155°
20 weeks 155-160°
21 weeks 160-165°
22 weeks 165-170°
23 weeks 170-175°
24 weeks 175-180°
25 weeks 180-185°
26 weeks 185-190°
27 weeks 190-195°
28 weeks 195-200°
29 weeks 200-205°
30 weeks 205-210°
31 weeks 210-215°
32 weeks 215-220°
33 weeks 220-225°
34 weeks 225-230°
35 weeks 230-235°
36 weeks 235-240°
37 weeks 240-245°
38 weeks 245-250°
39 weeks 250-255°
40 weeks 255-260°
41 weeks 260-265°
42 weeks 265-270°
43 weeks 270-275°
44 weeks 275-280°
45 weeks 280-285°
46 weeks 285-290°
47 weeks 290-295°
48 weeks 295-300°
49 weeks 300-305°
50 weeks 305-310°
51 weeks 310-315°
52 weeks 315-320°
53 weeks 320-325°
54 weeks 325-330°
55 weeks 330-335°
56 weeks 335-340°
57 weeks 340-345°
58 weeks 345-350°
59 weeks 350-355°
60 weeks 355-360°
61 weeks 360-365°
62 weeks 365-370°
63 weeks 370-375°
64 weeks 375-380°
65 weeks 380-385°
66 weeks 385-390°
67 weeks 390-395°
68 weeks 395-400°
69 weeks 400-405°
70 weeks 405-410°
71 weeks 410-415°
72 weeks 415-420°
73 weeks 420-425°
74 weeks 425-430°
75 weeks 430-435°
76 weeks 435-440°
77 weeks 440-445°
78 weeks 445-450°
79 weeks 450-455°
80 weeks 455-460°
81 weeks 460-465°
82 weeks 465-470°
83 weeks 470-475°
84 weeks 475-480°
85 weeks 480-485°
86 weeks 485-490°
87 weeks 490-495°
88 weeks 495-500°
89 weeks 500-505°
90 weeks 505-510°
91 weeks 510-515°
92 weeks 515-520°
93 weeks 520-525°
94 weeks 525-530°
95 weeks 530-535°
96 weeks 535-540°
97 weeks 540-545°
98 weeks 545-550°
99 weeks 550-555°
100 weeks 555-560°
101 weeks 560-565°
102 weeks 565-570°
103 weeks 570-575°
104 weeks 575-580°
105 weeks 580-585°
106 weeks 585-590°
107 weeks 590-595°
108 weeks 595-600°
109 weeks 600-605°
110 weeks 605-610°
111 weeks 610-615°
112 weeks 615-620°
113 weeks 620-625°
114 weeks 625-630°
115 weeks 630-635°
116 weeks 635-640°
117 weeks 640-645°
118 weeks 645-650°
119 weeks 650-655°
120 weeks 655-660°
121 weeks 660-665°
122 weeks 665-670°
123 weeks 670-675°
124 weeks 675-680°
125 weeks 680-685°
126 weeks 685-690°
127 weeks 690-695°
128 weeks 695-700°
129 weeks 700-705°
130 weeks 705-710°
131 weeks 710-715°
132 weeks 715-720°
133 weeks 720-725°
134 weeks 725-730°
135 weeks 730-735°
136 weeks 735-740°
137 weeks 740-745°
138 weeks 745-750°
139 weeks 750-755°
140 weeks 755-760°
141 weeks 760-765°
142 weeks 765-770°
143 weeks 770-775°
144 weeks 775-780°
145 weeks 780-785°
146 weeks 785-790°
147 weeks 790-795°
148 weeks 795-800°
149 weeks 800-805°
150 weeks 805-810°
151 weeks 810-815°
152 weeks 815-820°
153 weeks 820-825°
154 weeks 825-830°
155 weeks 830-835°
156 weeks 835-840°
157 weeks 840-845°
158 weeks 845-850°
159 weeks 850-855°
160 weeks 855-860°
161 weeks 860-865°
162 weeks 865-870°
163 weeks 870-875°
164 weeks 875-880°
165 weeks 880-885°
166 weeks 885-890°
167 weeks 890-895°
168 weeks 895-900°
169 weeks 900-905°
170 weeks 905-910°
171 weeks 910-915°
172 weeks 915-920°
173 weeks 920-925°
174 weeks 925-930°
175 weeks 930-935°
176 weeks 935-940°
177 weeks 940-945°
178 weeks 945-950°
179 weeks 950-955°
180 weeks 955-960°
181 weeks 960-965°
182 weeks 965-970°
183 weeks 970-975°
184 weeks 975-980°
185 weeks 980-985°
186 weeks 985-990°
187 weeks 990-995°
188 weeks 995-1000°
189 weeks 1000-1005°
190 weeks 1005-1010°
191 weeks 1010-1015°
192 weeks 1015-1020°
193 weeks 1020-1025°
194 weeks 1025-1030°
195 weeks 1030-1035°
196 weeks 1035-1040°
197 weeks 1040-1045°
198 weeks 1045-1050°
199 weeks 1050-1055°
200 weeks 1055-1060°
201 weeks 1060-1065°
202 weeks 1065-1070°
203 weeks 1070-1075°
204 weeks 1075-1080°
205 weeks 1080-1085°
206 weeks 1085-1090°
207 weeks 1090-1095°
208 weeks 1095-1100°
209 weeks 1100-1105°
210 weeks 1105-1110°
211 weeks 1110-1115°
212 weeks 1115-1120°
213 weeks 1120-1125°
214 weeks 1125-1130°
215 weeks 1130-1135°
216 weeks 1135-1140°
217 weeks 1140-1145°
218 weeks 1145-1150°
219 weeks 1150-1155°
220 weeks 1155-1160°
221 weeks 1160-1165°
222 weeks 1165-1170°
223 weeks 1170-1175°
224 weeks 1175-1180°
225 weeks 1180-1185°
226 weeks 1185-1190°
227 weeks 1190-1195°
228 weeks 1195-1200°
229 weeks 1200-1205°
230 weeks 1205-1210°
231 weeks 1210-1215°
232 weeks 1215-1220°
233 weeks 1220-1225°
234 weeks 1225-1230°
235 weeks 1230-1235°
236 weeks 1235-1240°
237 weeks 1240-1245°
238 weeks 1245-1250°
239 weeks 1250-1255°
240 weeks 1255-1260°
241 weeks 1260-1265°
242 weeks 1265-1270°
243 weeks 1270-1275°
244 weeks 1275-1280°
245 weeks 1280-1285°
246 weeks 1285-1290°
247 weeks 1290-1295°
248 weeks 1295-1300°
249 weeks 1300-1305°
250 weeks 1305-1310°
251 weeks 1310-1315°
252 weeks 1315-1320°
253 weeks 1320-1325°
254 weeks 1325-1330°
255 weeks 1330-1335°
256 weeks 1335-1340°
257 weeks 1340-1345°
258 weeks 1345-1350°
259 weeks 1350-1355°
260 weeks 1355-1360°
261 weeks 1360-1365°
262 weeks 1365-1370°
263 weeks 1370-1375°
264 weeks 1375-1380°
265 weeks 1380-1385°
266 weeks 1385-1390°
267 weeks 1390-1395°
268 weeks 1395-1400°
269 weeks 1400-1405°
270 weeks 1405-1410°
271 weeks 1410-1415°
272 weeks 1415-1420°
273 weeks 1420-1425°
274 weeks 1425-1430°
275 weeks 1430-1435°
276 weeks 1435-1440°
277 weeks 1440-1445°
278 weeks 1445-1450°
279 weeks 1450-1455°
280 weeks 1455-1460°
281 weeks 1460-1465°
282 weeks 1465-1470°
283 weeks 1470-1475°
284 weeks 1475-1480°
285 weeks 1480-1485°
286 weeks 1485-1490°
287 weeks 1490-1495°
288 weeks 1495-1500°
289 weeks 1500-1505°
290 weeks 1505-1510°
291 weeks 1510-1515°
292 weeks 1515-1520°
293 weeks 1520-1525°
294 weeks 1525-1530°
295 weeks 1530-1535°
296 weeks 1535-1540°
297 weeks 1540-1545°
298 weeks 1545-1550°
299 weeks 1550-1555°
300 weeks 1555-1560°
301 weeks 1560-1565°
302 weeks 1565-1570°
303 weeks 1570-1575°
304 weeks 1575-1580°
305 weeks 1580-1585°
306 weeks 1585-1590°
307 weeks 1590-1595°
308 weeks 1595-1600°
309 weeks 1600-1605°
310 weeks 1605-1610°
311 weeks 1610-1615°
312 weeks 1615-1620°
313 weeks 1620-1625°
314 weeks 1625-1630°
315 weeks 1630-1635°
316 weeks 1635-1640°
317 weeks 1640-1645°
318 weeks 1645-1650°
319 weeks 1650-1655°
320 weeks 1655-1660°
321 weeks 1660-1665°
322 weeks 1665-1670°
323 weeks 1670-1675°
324 weeks 1675-1680°
325 weeks 1680-1685°
326 weeks 1685-1690°
327 weeks 1690-1695°
328 weeks 1695-1700°
329 weeks 1700-1705°
330 weeks 1705-1710°
331 weeks 1710-1715°
332 weeks 1715-1720°
333 weeks 1720-1725°
334 weeks 1725-1730°
335 weeks 1730-1735°
336 weeks 1735-1740°
337 weeks 1740-1745°
338 weeks 1745-1750°
339 weeks 1750-1755°
340 weeks 1755-1760°
341 weeks 1760-1765°
342 weeks 1765-1770°
343 weeks 1770-1775°
344 weeks 1775-1780°
345 weeks 1780-1785°
346 weeks 1785-1790°
347 weeks 1790-1795°
348 weeks 1795-1800°
349 weeks 1800-1805°
350 weeks 1805-1810°
351 weeks 1810-1815°
352 weeks 1815-1820°
353 weeks 1820-1825°
354 weeks 1825-1830°
355 weeks 1830-1835°
356 weeks 1835-1840°
357 weeks 1840-1845°
358 weeks 1845-1850°
359 weeks 1850-1855°
360 weeks 1855-1860°
361 weeks 1860-1865°
362 weeks 1865-1870°
363 weeks 1870-1875°
364 weeks 1875-1880°
365 weeks 1880-1885°
366 weeks 1885-1890°
367 weeks 1890-1895°
368 weeks 1895-1900°
369 weeks 1900-1905°
370 weeks 1905-1910°
371 weeks 1910-1915°
372 weeks 1915-1920°
373 weeks 1920-1925°
374 weeks 1925-1930°
375 weeks 1930-1935°
376 weeks 1935-1940°
377 weeks 1940-1945°
378 weeks 1945-1950°
379 weeks 1950-1955°
380 weeks 1955-1960°
381 weeks 1960-1965°
382 weeks 1965-1970°
383 weeks 1970-1975°
384 weeks 1975-1980°
385 weeks 1980-1985°
386 weeks 1985-1990°
387 weeks 1990-1995°
388 weeks 1995-2000°
389 weeks 2000-2005°
390 weeks 2005-2010°
391 weeks 2010-2015°
392 weeks 2015-2020°
393 weeks 2020-2025°
394 weeks 2025-2030°
395 weeks 2030-2035°
396 weeks 2035-2040°
397 weeks 2040-2045°
398 weeks 2045-2050°
399 weeks 2050-2055°
400 weeks 2055-2060°
401 weeks 2060-2065°
402 weeks 2065-2070°
403 weeks 2070-2075°
404 weeks 2075-2080°
405 weeks 2080-2085°
406 weeks 2085-2090°
407 weeks 2090-2095°
408 weeks 2095-2100°
409 weeks 2100-2105°
410 weeks 2105-2110°
411 weeks 2110-2115°
412 weeks 2115-2120°
413 weeks 2120-2125°
414 weeks 2125-2130°
415 weeks 2130-2135°
416 weeks 2135-2140°
417 weeks 2140-2145°
418 weeks 2145-2150°
419 weeks 2150-2155°
420 weeks 2155-2160°
421 weeks 2160-2165°
422 weeks 2165-2170°
423 weeks 2170-2175°
424 weeks 2175-2180°
425 weeks 2180-2185°
426 weeks 2185-2190°
427 weeks 2190-2195°
428 weeks 2195-2200°
429 weeks 2200-2205°
430 weeks 2205-2210°
431 weeks 2210-2215°
432 weeks 2215-2220°
433 weeks 2220-2225°
434 weeks 2225-2230°
435 weeks 2230-2235°
436 weeks 2235-2240°
437 weeks 2240-2245°
438 weeks 2245-2250°
439 weeks 2250-2255°
440 weeks 2255-2260°
441 weeks 2260-2265°
442 weeks 2265-2270°
443 weeks 2270-2275°
444 weeks 2275-2280°
445 weeks 2280-2285°
446 weeks 2285-2290°
447 weeks 2290-2295°
448 weeks 2295-2300°
449 weeks 2300-2305°
450 weeks 2305-2310°
451 weeks 2310-2315°
452 weeks 2315-2320°
453 weeks 2320-2325°
454 weeks 2325-2330°
455 weeks 2330-2335°
456 weeks 2335-2340°
457 weeks 2340-2345°
458 weeks 2345-2350°
459 weeks 2350-2355°
460 weeks 2355-2360°
461 weeks 2360-2365°
462 weeks 2365-2370°
463 weeks 2370-2375°
464 weeks 2375-2380°
465 weeks 2380-2385°
466 weeks 2385-2390°
467 weeks 2390-2395°
468 weeks 2395-2400°
469 weeks 2400-2405°
470 weeks 2405-2410°
471 weeks 2410-2415°
472 weeks 2415-2420°
473 weeks 2420-2425°
474 weeks 2425-2430°
475 weeks 2430-2435°
476 weeks 2435-2440°
477 weeks 2440-2445°
478 weeks 2445-2450°
479 weeks 2450-2455°
480 weeks 2455-2460°
481 weeks 2460-2465°
482 weeks 2465-2470°
483 weeks 2470-2475°
484 weeks 2475-2480°
485 weeks 2480-2485°
486 weeks 2485-2490°
487 weeks 2490-2495°
488 weeks 2495-2500°
489 weeks 2500-2505°
490 weeks 2505-2510°
491 weeks 2510-2515°
492 weeks 2515-2520°
493 weeks 2520-2525°
494 weeks 2525-2530°
495 weeks 2530-2535°
496 weeks 2535-2540°
497 weeks 2540-2545°
498 weeks 2545-2550°
499 weeks 2550-2555°
500 weeks 2555-2560°
501 weeks 2560-2565°
502 weeks 2565-2570°
503 weeks 2570-2575°
504 weeks 2575-2580°
505 weeks 2580-2585°
506 weeks 2585-2590°
507 weeks 2590-2595°
508 weeks 2595-2600°
509 weeks 2600-2605°
510 weeks 2605-2610°
511 weeks 2610-2615°
512 weeks 2615-2620°
513 weeks 2620-2625°
514 weeks 2625-2630°
515 weeks 2630-2635°
516 weeks 2635-2640°
517 weeks 2640-2645°
518 weeks 2645-2650°
519 weeks 2650-2655°
520 weeks 2655-2660°
521 weeks 2660-2665°
522 weeks 2665-2670°
523 weeks 2670-2675°
524 weeks 2675-2680°
525 weeks 2680-2685°
526 weeks 2685-2690°
527 weeks 2690-2695°
528 weeks 2695-2700°
529 weeks 2700-2705°
530 weeks 2705-2710°
531 weeks 2710-2715°
532 weeks 2715-2720°
533 weeks 2720-2725°
534 weeks 2725-2730°
535 weeks 2730-2735°
536 weeks 2735-2740°
537 weeks 2740-2745°
538 weeks 2745-2750°
539 weeks 2750-2755°
540 weeks 2755-2760°
541 weeks 2760-2765°
542 weeks 2765-2770°
543 weeks 2770-2775°
544 weeks 2775-2780°
545 weeks 2780-2785°
546 weeks 2785-2790°
547 weeks 2790-2795°
548 weeks 2795-2800°
549 weeks 2800-2805°
550 weeks 2805-2810°
551 weeks 2810-2815°
552 weeks 2815-2820°
553 weeks 2820-2825°
554 weeks 2825-2830°
555 weeks 2830-2835°
556 weeks 2835-2840°
557 weeks 2840-2845°
558 weeks 2845-2850°
559 weeks 2850-2855°
560 weeks 2855-2860°
561 weeks 2860-2865°
562 weeks 2865-2870°
563 weeks 2870-2875°
564 weeks 2875-2880°
565 weeks 2880-2885°
566 weeks 2885-2890°
567 weeks 2890-2895°
568 weeks 2895-2900°
569 weeks 2900-2905°
570 weeks 2905-2910°
571 weeks 2910-2915°
572 weeks 2915-2920°
573 weeks 2920-2925°
574 weeks 2925-2930°
575 weeks 2930-2935°
576 weeks 2935-2940°
577 weeks 2940-2945°
578 weeks 2945-2950°
579 weeks 2950-2955°
580 weeks 2955-2960°
581 weeks 2960-2965°
582 weeks 2965-2970°
583 weeks 2970-2975°
584 weeks 2975-2980°
585 weeks 2980-2985°
586 weeks 2985-2990°
587 weeks 2990-2995°
588 weeks 2995-3000°
589 weeks 3000-3005°
590 weeks 3005-3010°
591 weeks 3010-3015°
592 weeks 3015-3020°
593 weeks 3020-3025°
594 weeks 3025-3030°
595 weeks 3030-3035°
596 weeks 3035-3040°
597 weeks 3040-3045°
598 weeks 3045-3050°
599 weeks 3050-3055°
600 weeks 3055-3060°
601 weeks 3060-3065°
602 weeks 3065-3070°
603 weeks 3070-3075°
604 weeks 3075-3080°
605 weeks 3080-3085°
606 weeks 3085-3090°
607 weeks 3090-3095°
608 weeks 3095-3100°
609 weeks 3100-3105°
610 weeks 3105-3110°
611 weeks 3110-3115°
612 weeks 3115-3120°
613 weeks 3120-3125°
614 weeks 3125-3130°
615 weeks 3130-3135°
616 weeks 3135-3140°
617 weeks 3140-3145°
618 weeks 3145-3150°
619 weeks 3150-3155°
620 weeks 3155-3160°
621 weeks 3160-3165°
622 weeks 3165-3170°
623 weeks 3170-3175°
624 weeks 3175-3180°
625 weeks 3180-3185°
626 weeks 3185-3190°
627 weeks 3190-3195°
628 weeks 3195-3200°
629 weeks 3200-3205°
630 weeks 3205-3210°
631 weeks 3210-3215°
632 weeks 3215-3220°
633 weeks 3220-3225°
634 weeks 3225-3230°
635 weeks 3230-3235°
636 weeks 3235-3240°
637 weeks 3240-3245°
638 weeks 3245-3250°
639 weeks 3250-3255°
640 weeks 3255-3260°
641 weeks 3260-3265°
642 weeks 3265-3270°
643 weeks 3270-3275°
644 weeks 3275-3280°
645 weeks 3280-3285°
646 weeks 3285-3290°
647 weeks 3290-3295°
648 weeks 3295-3300°
649 weeks 3300-3305°
650 weeks 3305-3310°
651 weeks 3310-3315°
652 weeks 3315-3320°
653 weeks 3320-3325°
654 weeks 3325-3330°
655 weeks 3330-3335°
656 weeks 3335-3340°
657 weeks 3340-3345°
658 weeks 3345-3350°
659 weeks 3350-3355°
660 weeks 3355-3360°
661 weeks 3360-3365°
662 weeks 3365-3370°
663 weeks 3370-3375°
664 weeks 3375-3380°
665 weeks 3380-3385°
666 weeks 3385-3390°
667 weeks 3390-3395°
668 weeks 3395-3400°
669 weeks 3400-3405°
670 weeks 3405-3410°
671 weeks 341

1. ^{outlet}
D. SAME AS ABOVE. TURN PRESSURE EITHER UP OR DOWN
E. TEMPERATURE

Mrs F. PVC PIPE IS USED.

OUTSIDE HOUSES PROBABLY ^{100%} ~~IS~~ IS BORED.

INSIDE HOUSES 100% IS VISIBLE.

G. RECYCLE PADS INSTEAD OF SPRAY ON TYPE COOLING SYSTEMS. DO NOT KNOW OF ANY OTHER ALTERNATIVES

S. A HAVE ALARM FOR EXCESSIVE USAGE 24 HRS ^{via cellphone pager}

B. VISUAL INSPECTION. REPAIRED WITH ^{PVC} PUC AND GLUE

C. YES

D. VISUAL AND METER USAGE

E. DAILY ^{visually}

F. YES BUNDICK WELL AND PUMP BOGGS

G. DO NOT KNOW OF ANY ^{dup to meter delin}

H. DAILY LOOK AT METERS AND DRINKERS

I. A SHOW PERSONAL WHAT AND WHERE TO LOOK FOR

LEAKS AND PROPER ADJUSTMENT OF DRINKERS

B. GROWER COMPANIES TELL OF BETTER PRODUCTS

C. YES ^{daily}

A. USING RECIRCULATING

B. BRUSH FANS RATHER THAN WASH THEM.

ALLOW COOLING SYSTEMS TO COME ON AT A HIGHER TEMPERATURE IF POSSIBLE

6 DURING A DECLARED WATER USE EMERGENCY NOT DO ANY WASHING OF THE FANS, ONLY BRUSH.

MAKE SURE REGULATORS ARE SET TO USE

Mrs. ~~son~~ ^{son} + ~~staff~~ ^{staff} (1-2 people)
@ start + daily report

THE MINIMUM AMOUNT OF WATER IN
WATER LINES REQUIRED BY POULTRY
COMPANY

TURN ON COOLING PADS AT ONLY CRITICAL
STAGES OF HEAT

MITIGATION PLAN

DEQ GROUNDWATER WITHDRAWAL PERMIT NO. GW0072900

OWNER NAME: Jan and ET Trader

FACILITY NAME: ET Trader, Parks, and Jan Trader Farms

LOCATION: **5061 and 5062 Holland Road**

INTRODUCTION

On (September 6, 2017), (Jan and ET Trader) submitted a Groundwater Withdrawal Permit Application to the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) to withdraw groundwater. Groundwater withdrawals associated with this permit will be utilized to *(develop and raise broiler chickens)*.

The purpose of this Mitigation Plan is to provide existing groundwater users a method to resolve claims that may arise due to the impact of the withdrawal from (ET Trader, Parks, and Jan Trader Farms) well field. Predicted drawdown of water levels due to the withdrawal(s) from the (*Potomac Aquifer, Yorktown/Eastover Aquifer, _____*) aquifer(s) are shown in the attached maps(s).

Modeled impacts, as shown on the attached maps, extend beyond the boundary of the (ET Trader, Parks, and Jan Trader Farms) facility. Due to these findings, (Jan and ET Trader) recognizes that there will be a rebuttable presumption that water level declines that cause adverse impacts to existing groundwater users within the area of impact are due to this withdrawal. Claims may be made by groundwater users outside this area; however, there is a rebuttable presumption that (Jan and ET Trader for ET Trader, Parks, and Jan Trader Farms) has not caused the adverse impact. (Jan and ET Trader) proposes this plan to mitigate impacts to existing users and excludes impacts to wells constructed after the effective date of this permit.

CLAIMANT REQUIREMENTS

To initiate a claim, the claimant must provide written notification of the claim to the following address:

Contact Name	<u>E.T. TRADER</u>
Title	<u>OWNER</u>
Permittee Name	<u>E.T. TRADER FARMS</u>
Address	<u>6320 BULLBEAR ROAD</u>
City, State Zip Code	<u>WITHAMS, VA. 23458</u>

The claim must include the following information: (a) a deed or other available evidence that the claimant is the owner of the well and the well was constructed and operated prior to the effective date of the permit; (b) all available information related to well construction, water levels, historic yield, water quality, and the exact location of the well sufficient to allow (Jan and ET Trader) to locate the well on the claimant's property; (c) the reasons the claimant believes that the (ET Trader, Parks, and Jan Trader Farms) withdrawal has caused an adverse impact on the claimants well(s).

CLAIM RESOLUTION

(Jan and ET Trader) will review any claim within **five (5) business days**. If (Jan and ET Trader) determines that no rebuttal will be made and accepts the claim as valid, (Jan and ET Trader) will so notify the claimant and will implement mitigation within **thirty (30) business days**. If the claim is not accepted as valid, (Jan and ET Trader) will notify the claimant that (a) the claim is denied or (b) that additional documentation from the claimant is required in order to evaluate the claim. Within **fifteen (15) business days** of receiving additional documentation from the claimant, (Jan and ET Trader) will notify the claimant (a) that (Jan and ET Trader) agrees to mitigate adverse impacts or (b) the claim is denied. If the claim is denied, the claimant will be notified that the claimant may request the claim be evaluated by a three (3) member committee. This committee will consist of one (1) representative selected by (Jan and ET Trader), one (1) representative selected by the claimant, and one (1) representative mutually agreed upon by the claimant and (Jan and ET Trader).

Any claimant requesting that a claim be evaluated by the committee should provide the name and address of their representative to (Jan and ET Trader). Within **five (5) business days** of receipt of such notification, (Jan and ET Trader) will notify the claimant and claimant's representative of the identity of (Jan and ET Trader) representative and instruct the representatives to select a third representative within **ten (10) business days**. Representatives should be a professional engineer or hydrogeologist with experience in the field of groundwater hydrology. (Jan and ET Trader) agrees to reimburse the members of the committee for reasonable time spent, at a rate prevailing in the area for experts in the above listed fields, and for direct costs incurred in administering the plan. The claimant may, at his or her option, choose to provide the reimbursement for the member of the committee selected by the claimant and up to half of the reimbursement for the mutual representative.

Within **ten (10) business days** of selection of the third representative, the committee will establish a **reasonable deadline** for submission of all documentation it needs to evaluate the claim. Both the claimant and (Jan and ET Trader) will abide by this deadline.

Within **fifteen (15) business days** of receipt of documentation, the committee will

evaluate the claim and reach a decision by majority vote. The committee will notify the claimant regarding its decision to (a) deny or (b) approve the claim. If the claim is approved, (Jan and ET Trader) will mitigate the adverse impacts within **thirty (30) business days** of making the decision or as soon as practical. If the claim is denied by the committee, (Jan and ET Trader) may seek reimbursement from the claimant for the claimant's committee representative and one half of the 3rd representative on the committee.

If a claimant within the indicated area of impact indicates that they are out of water, (Jan and ET Trader) will accept the responsibility of providing water for human consumptive needs within **seventy-two (72) hours** and to cover the claim review period. (Jan and ET Trader) reserves the right to recover the cost of such emergency supply if the claim is denied by (Jan and ET Trader) or found to be fraudulent or frivolous. If (Jan and ET Trader) denies a claim and the claimant elects to proceed with the three (3) member committee, (Jan and ET Trader) will continue the emergency water supply at the claimants request during the committee's deliberations, but reserves the right to recover the total costs of emergency water supply in the case that the committee upholds the denial of the claim. Similarly, (Jan and ET Trader) reserves the right to recover costs associated with the claim process if a claim is found to be fraudulent or frivolous.


If it is determined by the committee or shown to the committee's satisfaction that a well operating under a mitigation plan similar to (*Jan and ET Trader for ET Trader, Parks, and Jan Trader Farms*) Plan other than those owned and operated by (Jan and ET Trader) has contributed to the claimed adverse impact, (*Jan and ET Trader's*) share of the costs associated with mitigation will be allocated in proportion to its share of the impact. Such a determination shall be made by the committee after notification of the third party well owner, giving the third party well owner opportunity to participate in the proceedings of the committee.

PLAN ADMINISTRATION

Nothing in the Plan shall be construed to prevent the Department of Environmental Quality Staff from providing information needed for resolution of claims by the committee.


Trader Farms

Area of Impact - Middle Yorktown-Eastover Aquifer



● Trader Farms Wells

○ Middle Yorktown-Eastover Aquifer Area of Impact



Simulated drawdown at or exceeding one foot in the Middle Yorktown-Eastover aquifer resulting from a 9,500,000 gallon per year (26,027 average gpd), 50 year withdrawal from the Middle Yorktown-Eastover aquifer using the VAHydroGW-ES.


Maximum radius of one foot drawdown (Area of Impact) extends approximately 1.1 miles from the pumping center.

Technical evaluation performed by Aquaveo, LLC for the Virginia DEQ, Office of Water Supply
December 14, 2018




Trader Farms

Area of Impact - Lower Yorktown-Eastover Aquifer



● Trader Farms Wells

○ Lower Yorktown-Eastover Aquifer Area of Impact



Simulated drawdown at or exceeding one foot in the Lower Yorktown-Eastover aquifer resulting from a 9,500,000 gallon per year (26,027 average gpd), 50 year withdrawal from the Middle Yorktown-Eastover aquifer using the VAHydroGW-ES.

Maximum radius of one foot drawdown (Area of Impact) extends approximately 1.0 miles from the pumping center.

Technical evaluation performed by Aquaveo, LLC for the Virginia DEQ, Office of Water Supply
December 14, 2018



Fact Sheet Attachment AFarm Name: Trader FarmsCounty: Accomack

Permit Application Water Usage Calculations

Facility Information4 houses @ 43 ft width3 houses @ 45 ft width2 houses @ 60 ft width houses @ 66 ft width5.5 flocks per year**Consumption**

Attach a farm-specific table of daily water consumption data for one (1) flock. See attached example table. This example includes water use for all houses for one flock. If meter data is for only one house and all houses are similar in size, the limits below will need to be multiplied by the number of houses.

Assuming that water consumption remains generally constant from flock to flock, the annual flock consumption may be estimated as follows:

$$\text{Annual Flock Consumption} = 700,000 \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{flock}} \times 5.5 \frac{\text{flock}}{\text{yr}} = 3,850,000 \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{yr}}$$

The maximum monthly flock consumption may be estimated by adding up the daily water consumption for the last 31 days of the flock cycle (typically Days 20-50 of a 50 day flock cycle).

$$\text{Max Monthly Flock Consumption} = \sum_{\text{Day 20}}^{\text{Day 50}} \text{Daily Water Consumption} = \underline{\quad 533,321 \quad} \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{mo}}$$

Cooling

Per Figure 12 of University of Georgia, Poultry Housing Tips (Evaporative Cooling Pad System Water Usage), Volume 29, Number 1, 2017, the evaporative cooling pad water usage per tunnel fan capacity is estimated as follows:

$$\text{Annual Unit Cooling (Easton, MD)} \approx \frac{160,000 \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{yr}}}{100,000 \text{ cfm}} \approx \frac{1.6 \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{yr}}}{1 \text{ cfm}}$$

Per Page 6 of Cobb-Vantress, Broiler Management Guide, November 15, 2013, the tunnel fan capacity (operating at an airspeed of 600 fpm) may be estimated as follows:

Tunnel Fan Capacity

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left[228,000 \frac{\text{cfm}}{40 \text{ ft width}} \times 4 \text{ houses @ 43 ft width} \right] \\ &+ \left[285,000 \frac{\text{cfm}}{50 \text{ ft width}} \times 3 \text{ houses @ 45 ft width} \right] \\ &+ \left[342,000 \frac{\text{cfm}}{60 \text{ ft width}} \times 2 \text{ houses @ 60 ft width} \right] \\ &+ \left[376,200 \frac{\text{cfm}}{66 \text{ ft width}} \times \text{houses @ 66 ft width} \right] = 2,562,000 \text{ cfm} \end{aligned}$$

Given the annual unit cooling and tunnel fan capacity, the annual cooling may be estimated as follows:

$$\text{Annual Cooling} = 2,562,000 \text{ cfm} \times \frac{1.6 \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{yr}}}{1 \text{ cfm}} = 4,099,200 \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{yr}}$$

Requested Withdrawal Amounts

The total annual withdrawal amount may be estimated by adding the annual flock consumption and the annual cooling amounts.

$$\text{Annual Amount} = 3,850,000 \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{yr}} + 4,099,200 \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{yr}} + 9,409,200 * \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{yr}}$$

[*Includes human consumption of 1,460,000 gallons/year](#)

The total monthly withdrawal amount may be estimated by adding the maximum monthly flock consumption amount and one-third of the annual cooling amount (annual cooling divided by 3).

$$\text{Monthly Amount} = 533,321 \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{mo}} + \left[\frac{4,099,200 \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{yr}}}{3} \right] = 1,570,521 ** \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{mo}}$$

(or 1,024,800)

[**Includes human consumption of 12,400 gallons/month](#)